

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Plant & Soil Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJPSS_126882 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Yield and harvest index of Grain Sorghum as influenced by agronomic management practices in summer rice fallows of Southern Kerala |
| Type of the Article | |

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The manuscript is important for scientific community specifically for the field Agricultural Science. The study will standardize the agronomic management practice of Sorghum for a better yield an harvest. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Title of the manuscript is suitable. | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract of the manuscript is comprehensive. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Subsections and the structure of manuscript are appropriate. | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Scientifically correct and robust. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | References are sufficient and up to date. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | English language quality is suitable for scholarly communications. | |
| Optional/General comments | The manuscript is meaningfully & lucidly well written, scientifically robust, statistically justified, well referenced and technically sound. Hence it is recommended to accept the manuscript as it is. | |

Review Form 3

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Name: | Ujjal Kumar Pati |
| Department, University & Country | India |