

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_126882
Title of the Manuscript:	Yield and harvest index of Grain Sorghum as influenced by agronomic management practices in summer rice fallows of Southern Kerala
Type of the Article	Original Article

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Might be interesting for farmers growing sorghum.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I suggest the following title: Yield and harvest index of grain sorghum as influenced by tillage, plant spacing and three fertilization formulas in summer rice fallows	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I think the abstract is understandable	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No, The author should sequentially analyze and discuss the results presented in the tables.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript could be improved by considering more recent references, as well as adding further discussion of the reasons for the results.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	50% of the reference are older than 10 years. Only 31% of the references are from the last 5 years.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, I think so	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The corresponding author must read the instructions for authors. Also present the text of results and discussion based on the order of the Tables.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Juan Diego Garcia Paredes</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad Autonoma de Nayarit, Mexico</b>