

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_127433
Title of the Manuscript:	ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR AND WORKPLACE ENVIRONMENT OF TECHNOLOGY AND LIVELIHOOD EDUCATION (TLE) TEACHERS IN PUBLIC SECONDARY SCHOOLS
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	While the introduction outlines the research problem, it would benefit from a more detailed review of prior studies to better establish the context. The introduction provides a good overview, but it could benefit from a more detailed explanation of the theoretical framework. Some key terms are not clearly defined, which might confuse readers unfamiliar with the topic.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness and technical soundness through its well-defined research objectives and adherence to established methodologies. The authors also employ appropriate experimental techniques and statistical analyses, ensuring that the results are reliable and reproducible. The interpretation of findings is logical and well-supported by the data, reflecting a thorough understanding of the subject matter. However, the manuscript should incorporate a comprehensive review of relevant literature (e.g. the dimensions of variables used) thus situating the study within the broader scientific context and reinforcing its validity.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	The references in this manuscript are comprehensive and relevant, but several key citations appear to be outdated, with many sources published more than a decade ago. Incorporating more recent studies would strengthen the manuscript by ensuring the discussion reflects the latest advancements in the field. Additionally, including recent references could provide a broader perspective on how the study fits into current research trends and emerging methodologies.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the manuscript is generally suitable for scholarly communication, with clear and concise writing that effectively conveys the research objectives and findings. Overall, the content is well-organized and communicates the scientific concepts effectively.	
Optional/General comments	This manuscript addresses a relevant research question and provides valuable insights into the field. The study is methodologically sound, and the results are presented clearly with logical interpretations. However, there are areas where improvement is needed, particularly in updating references to include recent literature. The manuscript would benefit from a more detailed explanation of the concepts and definitions of the variables used in the study. Addressing these issues will significantly enhance the manuscript's impact and overall quality.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nur Azreen Farihah Binti Ahmad
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia