

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Agriculture</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_125342
Title of the Manuscript:	FINANCIAL LITERACY AMONG THE STUDENTS OF JUNAGADH AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY
Type of the Article	

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significance for the scientific community as it addresses financial literacy but does not adequately examine the strong, well-identified factors influencing it. The literature review needs to be more robust and extensive to extract relevant factors and variables effectively. Strengthening this section would provide a more comprehensive foundation for future research on financial literacy.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	"Financial Literacy Among Students at Junagadh Agricultural University: An Analytical Study"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Research objectives need be clearly stated.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Include Implications of the study/ future prospects, purpose of the study. In-citations should be mentioned. Extend the literature review. Review of literature should be made strong.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Strengthening the theoretical framework and including more detailed analysis of the identified variables could further improve its scientific depth.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Very less references provided to support the study. Add on more references (45-50)	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Major revision required as per recommendations stated.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shilpa Narang</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>JIMS-JCC, India</b>