

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_122894
Title of the Manuscript:	Proton beam therapy for Oral cancer and treatment challenges in India
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**Jac k** provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
of N ove lty'

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: (Review Comments is reserved for the comments from journal editorial office and editors):

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	There's many challenges facing us as oncologists in treating oral cancer When deciding to use radiotherapy many considerations should be taken, I loved the handling of this Topic , I liked the algorithms.. it's simplified and to the point	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I think that the cohesion and comprehension in all article sections is totally accepted	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This abstract issues a very important subject which is treatment challenges in treating oral cancer especially in the field of radiotherapy. It showed perfect background about the main issue then hinted about the various treatment then it expand the radiotherapy part of the treatment.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Recent and valid references were included	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yara Naser Mohamed Elsayed Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Zagazig university, Egypt