

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ AJARR_126813 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Pheochromocytoma presenting as a rare and reversible cause of Dilated Cardiomyopathy in a young Adolescent |
| Type of the Article | Case report |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The manuscript 'Pheochromocytoma presenting as a rare and reversible cause of Dilated Cardiomyopathy in a young Adolescent' has clinical significance, and provides insight for rare causes of hypertension in paediatric patients. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | yes | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract is concise and provide brief overview of case. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Discussion part should be restructured. Authors should include- prevalence of pheochromocytoma in paediatric age group, differential diagnosis of hypertension and cardiomyopathy in paediatric patients Diagnostic methods and pheochromocytoma markers Association between Pheochromocytoma and DCMP Pharmacotherapy to optimize patient before surgery | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Manuscript is scientifically correct and helpful in diagnosing and treating pheochromocytoma related dilated cardiomyopathy in adolescents. It would have been of great value if, all biochemical pheochromocytoma markers and histopathological reports were included. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | Seems sufficient. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Acceptable | |
| Optional/General comments | Details of management of previous similar episodes should be included. Family history should be mentioned as Pheochromocytoma may be related to MEN type 2. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| Name: | Rajnish Kumar Nama |
| Department, University & Country | IKDRC-ITS, India |