

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJHR_126833
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF FARMERS' HOUSEHOLD FOOD SECURITY AROUND PT JEMBAYAN MUARA BARA TENGGARONG SEBERANG DISTRICT
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Strengths</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Appreciated the interest and effort to carry out a research and prepare an article ➤ The title is clear and precisely self-explaining despite it needs modification based on the body of the document ➤ The article addressed the subject clearly using appropriate methodology ➤ The rationale that solicited the research has been mentioned with unambiguity <p>Areas of improvement</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The document lacks an academic writing standards including wording, sentence structures, punctuations, etc. ➤ The results had not been substantiated with findings from other studies in the field ➤ The implications of the finding did not mentioned. ➤ The link between food security and income diversification was inappropriately stated in the document which lacks a link in the title and unnecessary conclusion had been drawn ➤ Discussions of the results were shallow and misses essential areas of discussion ➤ Conclusion drawn were shallow 	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Meseret Meskele Guja</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Wolaita Soddo Agricultural TVET College, Ethiopia</p>