

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ JAERI_126626
Title of the Manuscript:	PUBLIC PERCEPTION AND WILLINGNESS TO PAY FOR PROGARI IN THE BONO AND GREATER ACCRA REGIONS OF GHANA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This research on proGARI highlights how nutrient-fortified staple foods can improve public health, especially in malnutrition-prone regions. By analyzing consumer preferences, it bridges food science with market insights, guiding culturally acceptable, health-focused innovations. Its findings inform sustainable food systems, aligning with global goals for nutrition and regional development.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The present title chosen adequately represents the work presented	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is well-written but key word are not mentioned	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the author has incorporated all the subsections required	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In the introduction section you have to explain scope, challenges and need of study comprehensively. In economic importance section –author clearly not mentioned how cassava is economically significance in terms of market value and import and export. Author has not included tables on socioeconomic characteristics which is essential Including study area map will enhance the quality of the paper Result of the study need to be supported with latest literature The conclusion part should be more comprehensive	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some the references are missing in the text as well as in reference section and I would like suggest incorporate latest references Missing references Nweke et al., 2004, Okigbo, 1980	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The article is well-written in English and it is suitable for scholarly communication; however, the author needs to address the grammatical and typographical errors as indicated in the corrected copy.	
Optional/General comments	Given my above recommendation, I consider that the manuscript can be revised and improved with a better version There is no competing interest issues in this manuscript This manuscript does not present any ethical concerns	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Akshay F Madiwalar
Department, University & Country	College of Forestry Sirsi, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, India