

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_126626
Title of the Manuscript:	PUBLIC PERCEPTION AND WILLINGNESS TO PAY FOR PROGARI IN THE BONO AND GREATER ACCRA REGIONS OF GHANA.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript discussed the food that gives life of millions of poor people in Africa continent. There are hundreds of cassava varieties cultivated worldwide, with Africa contributing nearly half of the global production. Cassava contributes billions in income to both rural households and national economies, making it a key crop for food security across many African nations. cassava has become a key substitute for other starchy crops.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. Researcher may incorporate the objectives or basic task of the study.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study aims to contribute to the broader conversation on food security, nutrition, and market development for fortified foods in Ghana and beyond. Researcher has used a probit model and a tobit model for measuring consumers' willingness to pay for proGARI. information on household size and consumption patterns is critical in understanding the household demand for food products, which plays a vital role in assessing the potential market for proGARI.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes.	
Minor REVISION comments	Accepted	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sukanta Sarkar
Department, University & Country	Gambella University, Ethiopia