

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_127207
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the effect of aqueous extracts of fresh Neem ( <i>Azadirachta indica</i> A. Juss., 1830) leaves and kernels on the mortality of the sweet potato weevil ( <i>Cylas Puncticollis</i> Boheman, 1833) under laboratory conditions in Burkina Faso
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The MS is important and will be a better study if it comes to the field condition. This MS is relevant and matter of concern w.r.t sweet potato production.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Appropriate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The results were mentioned clearly but discussion needs improvement. The material and methods were clearly explained. Table corrections should be must for the better readability.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient. Try to change the refence style according to the journals author guidelines	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Discussion needs improvement. Language is good, easily understood	
Optional/General comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nikhil Reddy K S</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Keladi Shivappa Nayaka University of Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences, India</b>