

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_126686
Title of the Manuscript:	Typology of Grasscutter (<i>Thryonomys swinderianus</i>) Farming in Southern Benin Two Decades After Its Domestication
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author has collected data on various aspects of Grasscutter farming and compared it with the previous studies. The article will be helpful for the community for further study on the parts and for betterment of the Grasscutter farming.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, but some improvements are required.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It has addressed the feeding requirements, suggesting the need for age specific feed for the Grasscutter, issues, and possible solutions for farming. It can open the doors for the further research regarding the farming practices and physiological studies of Grasscutter.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Yes	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Some mistakes are mentioned in the manuscript. The overall manuscript is fine but some changes are required for the clarity of sentences. There is also some issues with the formatting of the article, UPPERCASE for subheadings, and in writing of scientific names. PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mah Noor
Department, University & Country	University of Gujrat, Pakistan