

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_124755
Title of the Manuscript:	Seasonal incidence of tea mosquito bug, <i>Helopeltis</i> spp. in guava, cv. L-49 at ARS- Hagari, Ballari, Karnataka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <i>Helopeltis antonii</i> are important insect pest of Guava, Tea and Coffee in India and elsewhere. ➤ It is important pest of Guava and damage the leaves, buds and fruits. ➤ Therefore it is necessary to know about pest complex, their occurrence, periodicity, level of damage, etc., so that economic, safe and eco-friendly control measures could be developed. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, title of the manuscript is good according to the manuscript	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, abstract of the manuscript is comprehensive, so there is no need to addition or deletion.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The planning of the research activities appears to be in an integrated manner to carry out investigation in order to generate precise information. ➤ The methods and techniques chosen are appropriate and standard. ➤ The standard is statistical methods for laying out experiment, design, and data analysis are considered to have been properly selected/ considered to find best result. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, references are recent. The number of references is less, so if possible add 4-5 more recent references in introduction and discussion section	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sushil Kumar
Department, University & Country	Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, India