

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_120620
Title of the Manuscript:	Compatability And Virulence Response Of Certain Entomopathogenic Consortia On Spodoptera Litura (Fabricius)
Type of the Article	Scientific

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1 The study of the interaction of entomopathogenic fungi is interesting and needs to be investigated. 2 I find it important that they have made various combinations of these entomopathogenic fungi and how they determined their interaction. 3 they did not test for plague it seems unnecessary to name it.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Is not suitable because there is not evidence of virulence proofs and is not reported a bioassay of use the consortia on <i>Spodoptera litura</i> . They only test for visual compatibility. Alternative title: Compatibility of certain entomopathogenic fungus consortia in laboratory tests.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I suggest delete the part of tobacco caterpillar and add more description of entomopathogenic fungus.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	There is no conclusion part I can't find figure 7	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Is not robust, it needs experimental design and statistical model. Table 1 doesn't have discussion part It is necessary to report results, if there is differences, or a mathematical model to determine which is the best combination	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	I suggest more references and recent (2022-2024)	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	It is incomplete, although is an important investigation, needs to improve experiments and discussion. I suggest to include photographs of each interaction	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ana Elena Aviña Ruelas
Department, University & Country	Universidad Juárez del Estado de Durango, México