

**ReviewForm3**

JournalName:	<a href="#">InternationalNeuropsychiatricDiseaseJournal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_127367
TitleoftheManuscript:	Prevalenceoftheside effectsafterstartingSSRIinthe primarycaresettings
Typeofthe Article	OriginalResearchArticle

[ReviewForm3](#)

**PART1:ReviewComments**

<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that a author should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Many patients undergoing treatment with SSRIs may not be fully aware of the potential side effects associated with these medications. A prevalence study of this nature is instrumental in raising public awareness about the possible adverse effects, thereby promoting more informed patient engagement in their treatment journey. Furthermore, the findings from such a study can assist physicians in making more informed clinical decisions, optimizing treatment plans, and improving patient outcomes. Additionally, this study provides healthcare providers with a valuable resource to facilitate informed discussions with patients, addressing their concerns effectively and setting realistic expectations regarding treatment with SSRIs.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes, it is comprehensive.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p> <p>-</p>	<p>The methodology section requires revision to include the sampling technique and the duration of the study, which are critical components for ensuring the study's validity and reliability. A detailed explanation of the data collection schedule and procedures is essential for a prevalence study to provide clarity and reproducibility, but these aspects are currently lacking. Additionally, the manuscript does not address ethical clearance, which is a fundamental requirement for conducting research involving human participants. The process for obtaining informed consent from participants, ensuring their voluntary participation and understanding of the study's purpose, risks, and benefits, must also be explicitly detailed to uphold ethical research standards. Incorporating these elements will strengthen the methodology and align the study with established ethical and scientific norms.</p>	
<p>Are there references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>-</p>	<p>The references utilized in this study span from the year 2000 to 2023. While this provides a broad historical perspective, focusing on references from the most recent five years would offer a more current understanding of the issue. Incorporating recent studies is crucial to reflect the latest advancements, trends, and insights, thereby enhancing the study's relevance and applicability to the current status of the problem.</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The grammar utilized in the manuscript requires improvement, as inconsistencies have been noted, including the use of future tense inappropriately. When drafting a manuscript, it is essential to ensure that the language is precise and grammatically correct, as the quality of sentence construction is fundamental to the clarity and impact of the presentation.</p>	

**ReviewForm3**

<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Pleasereferthepreviouscomments.	
----------------------------------	---------------------------------	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Smitha Madhavan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Lincoln University College, Malaysia</b>