

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_127038
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>DROWNING, THE BURDEN OF FATALITY: A CASE REPORT</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Drowning is a public health problem and information and case reports on the subject should be disseminated.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Is it suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Relevant summary	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In the case report, the author states that "Chest compressions were performed and he expelled approximately 1 liter of water mixed with blood." It is necessary to briefly describe who performed the chest compressions, since the efficiency of a health professional who may have performed them or the inefficiency of laypeople in performing the maneuvers can make the difference between life and death for the drowning victim.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	I suggest updating the references, as there are old ones from 2013, 2011, that is, more than a decade. Avoid using books like reference 3, use the correct way to cite because the data is incomplete in citation numbers 1 and 4. And others do not include the year, as is the case with reference 18.	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	In the conclusion, I suggest removing the word accidental. The text mentions "Drowning is a highly preventable cause of accidental death." I suggest replacing it with "Drowning is a highly preventable cause of death," especially because the idea that "Drowning is not an accident, it does not happen by chance, it can be prevented" has been widely spread.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Marcelo Barros de Vasconcellos</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>State University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil</b>