

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Agriculture</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_127257
Title of the Manuscript:	Validation of Early Sugarcane Clones for yield and juice quality traits
Type of the Article	

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is important for the scientific community related to sugarcane crop. It is also equally important for the sugarcane growers as well as researcher. It can also helps the sugar industry to recommend farmers for growing early clones with good recovery rate leading to sustainable development of sugarcane associated growers and industry related to sugarcane.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Perfectly suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Very much comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate for the study.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Early clone with good juice quality parameters are very much important from growers point of view as well as sugar industry. Early clones able to start the industry early and give the opportunity to the industry for more crushing days along with achieving high recovery rate. Farmers can also get another short duration intercrop from their field with additional income.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, Sufficient and Recent	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Everything Fine. English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Sugarcane clones used in this experiment are little bit older. But they also are good clones for such experiment.	

[Review Form 3](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Goutam Mahata</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Pulses and Oilseeds Research Station, India</b>