

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_123516
Title of the Manuscript:	Intentions, Barriers and Attitudes Towards Improving Postpartum Long-acting Reversible Contraceptive Usage in Urban Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The use of multivariate analysis to further determine predictors of intentions and use of postpartum Long-acting Reversible Contraceptive (LARC) made the results of the research robust. Also, the manuscript provides recommendation on how to improve LARC usage from the clients/end user's perspective. The predictors identified and recommendations noted would improve the knowledge of the scientific community with regards to family planning in the West African context.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The article title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript is apt as the IMRAD (Introduction, Methods, Results and Discussions) scheme for scientific writing were duly covered.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The statistical analysis (Chi-square and multivariate logistic regression) used for the study were ideal. Also, the study design and methodology used is scientifically correct for this type of study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References in the manuscript are sufficient as they are about 50 references. Although some references cited are old (prior to 2013) and could be replaced with more recent publications.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language used in the manuscript is simple with minimal jargons and this is ideal for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	This manuscript depicts great conceptualization and execution of research. (From problem statement to methodology and in-depth data analysis).	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Judith Ifeanyi Ugbo
Department, University & Country	Nigeria