

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJMAH_123516</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Intentions, Barriers and Attitudes Towards Improving Postpartum Long-acting Reversible Contraceptive Usage in Urban Ghana</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Yes this manuscript is importance for the scientific community.</li> <li>➤ Awareness about the long term use of contraception among reproductive population may help to control the population explosion and also to attain sustainable development.</li> <li>➤ Long term usage of contraception may overcome from lack of availability, accessibility of other contraceptives</li> </ul>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The study title is suitable to the article.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Results about Attitude and barrier is not mentioned in abstract</li> <li>➤ write the key words in alphabetical order</li> </ul>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections of manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ The author adopted the IMRAD (Introduction, Methodology, Result and Discussion) format in this manuscript.</li> <li>➤ Used suitable sampling technique</li> <li>➤ Statistical analysis is appropriately chosen.</li> </ul>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	yes	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There is some correction needed in English quality.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Over all the mastery of the content, Material and Methods adopted is suitable for this present study.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The author may adopt IMRAD (Introduction, Methodology, Result and Discussion) format in the abstract also.</li> <li>2. Results about Attitude and barrier is not mentioned in the abstract</li> <li>3. write the key words in alphabetical order in the abstract</li> <li>4. Need and background of the study was clearly stated.</li> <li>5. In the section 3.3: Educational status of partners (p = 1.000) were not correlated with intentions to use LARC after successful delivery. From the reproductive health variables, history of abortion (p = 0.820), attitude towards contraceptive usage (p = 0.861), frequency of sexual activity Instead the author can use - not associated with intention to use LARC</li> <li>6. No Major scientific corrections noted</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>J. Eswari</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Dr. M.G.R. Educational And Research Institution, India</b>