

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Zoology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_119640
Title of the Manuscript:	LENGTH-WEIGHT RELATIONSHIP AND CONDITION FACTOR OF <i>Clarias gariepinus</i> , <i>Mormyrus rume rume</i> and <i>Synodontis budgetti</i> IN DADIN KOWA RESERVOIR, GOMBE STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is important and well written, few more points need to added and modified.</p> <p>Suggested title - <i>Clarias gariepinus</i>, <i>Mormyrus rume rume</i>, and <i>Synodontis budgetti</i>'s length-weight relationship and condition factor in the Dadin Kowari Reservoir</p> <p>Please focus the abstract on your study and your results. In particular the last two sentences are vague. State the study objectives in abstract Please be more specific. Focus could be on the entire GOMBE STATE, NIGERIA</p> <p>Yes, few more points need to be rewrites.</p> <p>Better citations will help the authors to provide better and more accurate information.</p> <p>The article gives an interesting historical and scientific perspective on a field</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Needs to improve writing styles of the paper, A certain view is implied by the organization of the paper and the references chosen, but they could be more explicit.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The Background section should explain the background to the article, its aims, a summary of a search of the existing literature and the issue under discussion. Under conclusion state clearly the main conclusions and include an explanation of their relevance or importance to the field.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K. Shoba
Department, University & Country	D.K.M College for Women, India