

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_127385
Title of the Manuscript:	BOOK REVIEW: CURRICULUM FROM THEORY TO PRACTICE: WESLEY NULL
Type of the Article	Scholarly Book Review

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The author has presented the review of the book titled ‘Curriculum from Theory to Practice’ by Wesley Hull. In the Book Review the author explores the strengths and gaps of the book. The following are the observations related to the Book Review:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abrupt beginning of both abstract and article. 2. Keywords add no value as they are only the repetition of the title. 3. First few paragraphs are mere collation of different scholars/authors. 4. Figure 1 is unclear and incomplete e.g. Insightful review of? Are so many boxes needed? There are incomplete phrases in some boxes. 5. In second paragraph of ‘Impact on Educational Policy and Practice’, where a reference is made to the comparison of Hull’s work with others, it would be advisable to name the authors/books with which it is compared. 6. In the very last line of the article, does the author intend to write something as point ‘E’ or is it a typographical error? 7. The main body of the manuscript is 1625 words excluding Abstract and References. 	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer’s comment</p>	<p>Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Vanita Anand</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Maharaja Surajmal Institute, India</p>