

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_127309
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effects of Complex Family Dynamics on Early Childhood Development: A Case Study
Type of the Article	Case study

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript addresses a significant issue in early childhood development: the impact of complex family dynamics on young children. By providing qualitative insights through case studies, it contributes valuable data on how children adapt emotionally, socially, and cognitively to challenging family situations. The findings have implications for educators, mental health professionals, and policymakers in crafting targeted interventions. Its importance lies in its focus on resilience and adaptive mechanisms, emphasizing support systems in both familial and educational contexts.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The current title is suitable as it clearly reflects the content of the study. However, for improved clarity and scientific rigor, the title could be revised to: <i>"Exploring the Impact of Complex Family Dynamics on Early Childhood Development: A Case Study Analysis"</i></p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract provides a broad overview of the study but could benefit from more specific details. Suggestions for improvement:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Include a brief mention of the theoretical frameworks (Resiliency Theory and Carl Rogers' Self-Actualization). 2. Highlight the key findings succinctly, including specific coping mechanisms and the proposed programs. 3. Avoid redundancy in describing the challenges faced by children. <p>Revised abstract suggestion: <i>"This study examines the effects of complex family dynamics on early childhood development, addressing cognitive, social, emotional, and moral domains. Utilizing Resiliency Theory and Carl Rogers' Self-Actualization framework, the study employed a descriptive case study design with structured interviews. Findings reveal challenges such as emotional neglect, isolation, and anxiety, counterbalanced by nurturing caregiver relationships and effective coping mechanisms. The study proposes school-based mental health initiatives and family-centered programs to foster resilience in affected children."</i></p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The manuscript has a well-structured layout, with clear subsections for methodology, results, and discussion. However, minor reorganization is recommended:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The "Proposed Program" section could be integrated with the conclusion to provide a cohesive discussion of findings and applications. 2. Subsections like "Coping Mechanisms" should focus more on comparative analysis across cases. 	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically robust and methodologically sound. It integrates well-established theoretical frameworks and provides qualitative data to support the claims. The reliance on structured interviews ensures depth in data collection. However, the small sample size (five respondents) limits the generalizability of findings. Expanding the study or adding a justification for the sample size would strengthen its scientific rigor.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =</p>	<p>The references are adequate and include seminal works on family dynamics and child development. However, more recent studies (post-2020) on family dynamics' impact on early childhood development should be incorporated for updated relevance. Suggested additions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Studies on resilience in early childhood from journals like <i>Child Development</i> or <i>Developmental Psychology</i>. • Recent research on school-based interventions for children from complex family backgrounds. <p>Strengths:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Addresses a critical topic with real-world applications. 	

Review Form 3

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Provides rich qualitative insights. 3. Proposes actionable recommendations for schools and families. <p>Weaknesses:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Limited sample size. 2. Language requires refinement for scholarly publication. 3. Abstract and conclusion could be more concise and impactful. 	
<p><u>Minor REVISION</u> comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is clear but requires minor corrections for scholarly communication. Issues include grammatical inconsistencies, colloquial phrases, and redundancy. Example: "She said that her nanny will always cheer her up and hug her if she feels it" could be revised to: "Her nanny provides consistent emotional support and comfort, especially during moments of distress."</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript mentions consent forms for respondents, which demonstrates ethical adherence. However, the authors should explicitly state their adherence to ethical guidelines (e.g., approval from an institutional ethics review board).</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammad Rahmatullah
Department, University & Country	Northern University, Bangladesh