

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_127238
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECTS OF WESTERN CULTURE ON RITES OF PASSAGE AMONG THE HEHE AND BENA SOCIETIES: CASE STUDY IRINGA RURAL DISTRICT
Type of the Article	Research article

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This manuscript addresses the impact of Western culture on Tanzanian rites of passage, offering valuable insights into cultural preservation and adaptation amid globalization. While it contributes to under-researched areas in cultural anthropology, its methodological gaps and unclear arguments reduce its immediate applicability, necessitating further refinement.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>The title is not grammatically correct. It should be "... case study in ..."            THE EFFECTS OF WESTERN CULTURE ON RITES OF PASSAGE AMONG THE HEHE AND BENA SOCIETIES: CASE STUDY IN IRINGA RURAL DISTRICT</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>Methodology in the Abstract is not clearly mentioned.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The scientific correctness of this manuscript is undermined by several critical issues that need addressing. While the study's mixed-method approach aims to provide a comprehensive analysis, the lack of clarity in the methodology section, particularly regarding sampling techniques, population size, and sample size, raises concerns about the reliability of the findings. Furthermore, inconsistencies in data analysis and the absence of a clear demonstration of mixed-method integration weaken the study's technical soundness. Additionally, the failure to establish a robust research gap and the use of uncited references detract from the manuscript's scientific rigor, indicating a need for substantial revisions to achieve robustness.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>            =</p>	<p>The references in this manuscript are not sufficient, and many of them are not recent. While some foundational works are appropriately cited, the list includes outdated references, such as Turner (1969) and Smith (1996), without adequately balancing them with more recent studies. Furthermore, several references listed in the bibliography do not appear to have been cited in the text, suggesting a lack of alignment between the research and its supporting literature.</p> <p>To enhance the depth and relevance of the manuscript, incorporating more recent studies on globalization's impact on cultural practices, as well as newer research on hybridization of traditions in African societies, is recommended. For example:</p> <p>Articles discussing cultural hybridization in the context of globalization within African or similar societies.            Recent works on the effects of Western media and educational systems on indigenous practices, especially in Sub-Saharan Africa.</p>	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, the language is alright.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	In general, the article should have a major revision.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>S M Sabbih Hossain</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>City University, Bangladesh</b>