

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_127238
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECTS OF WESTERN CULTURE ON RITES OF PASSAGE AMONG THE HEHE AND BENA SOCIETIES: CASE STUDY IRINGA RURAL DISTRICT
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript is valuable to the scientific community as it examines the effects of globalization on the Hehe and Bena communities in Tanzania, providing insight into cultural resilience and adaptation. Its interdisciplinary approach enriches the understanding of cultural hybridization and offers important contributions to discussions on preserving indigenous practices. I appreciate its depth of analysis and potential to inform policies on cultural heritage preservation.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title is generally suitable but could be more specific to highlight the key themes of the manuscript. A possible alternative could be:  "Globalization and Cultural Resilience: The Hehe and Bena Communities of Tanzania".	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract is generally comprehensive, providing a clear overview of the manuscript's focus on globalization and the cultural resilience of the Hehe and Bena communities. However, to improve clarity, I suggest briefly mentioning the methodology (e.g., ethnographic fieldwork or interviews) and the main findings of the study. This would help readers understand the approach and results more effectively. Additionally, it may be beneficial to explicitly highlight the significance of the research in the context of broader cultural studies or globalization discourse.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The subsections and structure of the manuscript appear appropriate for the topic. The organization allows for a clear progression of ideas, starting with the background on globalization and moving into detailed discussions of the Hehe and Bena communities. However, I suggest ensuring that each section logically flows into the next and that the findings and discussions are clearly separated. Additionally, a brief conclusion summarizing the main findings and their implications for cultural resilience and globalization would enhance the overall coherence of the manuscript.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript demonstrates scientific rigor by employing a well-researched framework that explores the impact of globalization on the Hehe and Bena communities. The author effectively integrates historical, cultural, and social data to support the analysis, ensuring a solid foundation for the arguments presented. The methodology used for data collection and analysis is clearly outlined and appropriate for the study's aims. Additionally, the manuscript is technically sound, with evidence drawn from credible sources and scholarly references, which strengthens its overall scientific integrity.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> :	The references cited in the manuscript appear to be relevant and provide a solid foundation for the study. However, there is room to incorporate more recent studies, particularly those that address the evolving dynamics of globalization and its effects on indigenous communities, as this is an area of growing scholarly interest. Including additional references on contemporary theories of cultural interaction, globalization's impact on cultural preservation, and case studies of similar communities would enhance the manuscript's depth and relevance. Suggestions for additional references could include works from the last five years, focusing on globalization and indigenous cultural responses.	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language and English quality of the article is generally suitable for scholarly communication; however, there are minor areas where clarity and precision can be improved. Some sentences could be restructured to enhance readability and avoid redundancy. Additionally, a few technical terms and phrases would benefit from clearer definitions or explanations, particularly for readers who may not be familiar with the specific subject matter. Overall, the manuscript is well-written but would benefit from a careful proofreading to refine sentence structure and eliminate minor errors.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>Proofreading:</b> A final proofread to catch minor grammatical errors and improve the overall flow would be beneficial.  The manuscript is well-researched and tackles an important topic. However, there are some areas that could be improved, particularly in terms of clarity, methodology detail, and language refinement. These are relatively minor issues that, once addressed, will significantly enhance the quality of the manuscript.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>K.M.G. Arjuna Manage</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Kerala, India</b>