

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_127008
Title of the Manuscript:	ACQUISITION AND APPLICATION OF 21ST CENTURY SKILLS AMONG PRE- SERVICE SOCIAL STUDIES TEACHERS IN GHANA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I find it important that it focuses on 21st century skills, which are among the most important issues of today in the field of education. In addition to its topicality, I can say that the context in the study is very well established and it is easy to read with a good flow. In terms of methodology, it is obvious that the study is academic. In these aspects, the study has features worth publishing.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, an appropriate title has been identified.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract section of the study has been prepared to include important details. Accordingly, it is seen that the problem, method and findings are mentioned. I do not have any suggestions for addition.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	As stated above, the subheadings of the study and the theoretically determined framework are sufficient in academic terms.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Within the scope of the study, the researcher prepared his own scale and explained this situation in his research by conducting reliability and validity studies in this process. The fact that the study, which is based on a theoretical framework, is in accordance with the academic structure shows the scientificity of the study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The most important shortcoming of the study is in terms of references. It is seen that many sources cited in the study are not shared in the references section. It should definitely be reorganised.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is.	
Optional/General comments	Although the study contains many scientific features, it has significant deficiencies in terms of references. It is suitable to be published after the necessary corrections are made.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Onur Agaoglu
Department, University & Country	Ankara University, Turkiye