

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_126970</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Chaos and Decision Making in Schools and Other Educational Institutions</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Minireview Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript, <i>Chaos and Decision Making in Schools and Other Educational Institutions</i>, is highly valuable to the scientific community as it addresses the complex, often chaotic nature of decision-making in educational environments, which are influenced by a myriad of factors such as organizational dynamics, stakeholder diversity, and unpredictability in external forces. This research contributes significantly by shedding light on how decision-makers in educational settings can navigate uncertainties and complexity, promoting resilience and adaptability in schools and universities. I appreciate this manuscript for its insightful exploration of how chaos theory principles can apply to educational leadership, offering a fresh perspective that is both theoretical and practical, and ultimately contributing to more informed and flexible approaches to decision-making within the educational sector.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title <i>Chaos and Decision Making in Schools and Other Educational Institutions</i> is intriguing yet somewhat misleading, as the concepts of "chaos" and "decision making" appear contradictory and may not immediately convey the manuscript's core objectives. While the use of "chaos" might be intended to reference complex or non-linear dynamics, without clarification, it may give readers an impression of disorder that opposes structured decision-making processes in educational contexts. I would suggest a more precise title that clearly conveys the study's focus on managing complexity or uncertainty in educational decision-making. This adjustment would help align reader expectations with the manuscript's actual content and strengthen its scholarly impact.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract provides a broad overview of the manuscript but lacks the necessary specificity and clarity to effectively convey the synthesis of the article's key arguments and findings. It seems to merely touch on general themes without adequately highlighting the core objectives, methodology, or key results of the study. To enhance its effectiveness, I recommend that the authors revise the abstract to offer a clearer, more focused summary that explicitly reflects the article's structure, key insights, and conclusions. This will help readers quickly grasp the essence of the research and its contribution to the field.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The subsections of the manuscript are well-organized and appropriately structured, effectively guiding the reader through the key themes and arguments. Each subsection is relevant to the overall discussion, and the logical flow between them enhances the readability and coherence of the article. However, I would suggest ensuring that the transitions between subsections are more seamless, as this would further improve the overall clarity and cohesion of the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The topic of the manuscript is highly relevant, especially in the context of contemporary discussions about the role of education in society. Education is universally acknowledged as a fundamental pillar of societal development, and the growing concerns about how the sector is being diverted from its core values are both timely and critical. The manuscript addresses these concerns in a meaningful way, highlighting important issues that resonate with educational stakeholders at all levels. The relevance of the topic will undoubtedly engage a broad audience, prompting reflection on how educational institutions can better align with their original mission amidst evolving challenges.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :</p>	<p>The references in the manuscript are minimal and somewhat outdated, which may limit the scope and relevance of the research in the context of current developments in the field. To strengthen the manuscript, I recommend that the authors incorporate more recent studies and a broader range of sources, particularly those that reflect the latest trends and advancements in educational theory and practice. This would not only enhance the article's academic rigor but also ensure its relevance to contemporary discussions and challenges in education.</p>	

**Review Form 3**

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language used in the manuscript is clear and effectively communicates the ideas presented. The writing style is accessible, making complex concepts easier to understand without sacrificing academic rigor. Overall, the language contributes to the readability and flow of the manuscript, enhancing its overall quality.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript explores the complex and dynamic nature of decision-making in educational institutions, with an emphasis on how chaos theory can be applied to understanding the challenges faced by decision-makers in schools and universities. While the topic is highly relevant to contemporary educational discourse, the manuscript would benefit from further clarification in certain areas to enhance its academic rigor and coherence.</p> <p>Strengths:</p> <p><b>1. Relevance of the Topic:</b></p> <p>The manuscript addresses an important issue, given the widespread recognition of the central role of education in society. It effectively highlights the growing concerns over how the education sector is deviating from its core values amidst an evolving landscape. This is a timely topic that resonates with educational stakeholders, and the manuscript's focus on decision-making processes amidst chaos offers valuable insights into these complex dynamics.</p> <p><b>2. Clarity of Language:</b></p> <p>The language used in the manuscript is clear and accessible, which facilitates the communication of the research's key ideas. The writing style is straightforward, making complex concepts understandable while maintaining the necessary academic tone. This contributes positively to the overall readability and flow of the article.</p> <p><b>3. Organization of Subsections:</b></p> <p>The manuscript is well-organized, with appropriate subsections that guide the reader through the discussion. The logical flow between the subsections aids in maintaining coherence, and each section is relevant to the overarching theme of the article.</p> <p><b>Areas for Improvement:</b></p> <p><b>1. Title:</b></p> <p>While the title is intriguing, it may be somewhat misleading as the terms "chaos" and "decision-making" seem contradictory. The use of "chaos" might imply a level of disorder that contrasts with the structured processes of decision-making in educational institutions. A more precise title that better reflects the manuscript's focus on navigating complexity or uncertainty in educational decision-making would improve clarity and alignment with the article's content.</p> <p><b>2. Abstract:</b></p> <p>The abstract, while comprehensive, remains somewhat vague. It provides a general overview of the manuscript's themes but lacks specificity in terms of the study's objectives, methodology, and key findings. A revision is recommended to ensure the abstract clearly synthesizes the article's content, offering readers a concise summary that accurately reflects the research's structure and contributions.</p> <p><b>3. References:</b></p> <p>The references cited in the manuscript are minimal and somewhat outdated. To strengthen the manuscript and ensure its academic rigor, I recommend the inclusion of more recent studies, particularly those that reflect current trends and developments in educational theory and practice. This would not only enhance the manuscript's relevance but also situate it within the latest scholarly discourse in the field.</p> <p><b>Conclusion:</b></p> <p>The manuscript tackles a highly relevant and timely topic in educational research, addressing the</p>	

**Review Form 3**

	challenges and complexities of decision-making in the context of chaos theory. The clear language and well-organized subsections contribute to the manuscript's readability, while the exploration of such a pertinent issue makes it a valuable contribution to the field. However, the manuscript would benefit from a more precise title, a more focused abstract, and an updated and expanded reference list. With these revisions, the article has the potential to make a significant scholarly impact.	
--	---	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Satya Subrahmanyam</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Kaslik, Lebanon</b>