

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_126970
Title of the Manuscript:	Chaos and Decision Making in Schools and Other Educational Institutions
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript is significant, given that it is researching the under-researched topic based on chaos and decision-making in educational institutions. Chaos was introduced more precisely, and the researcher demonstrated sound discipline knowledge.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title is suitable.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Given the nature of this minireview article, there is no need to add or delete information in an abstract.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes, subsections of the manuscript are found appropriate.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The researcher has followed the correct format for the mini-view article. The article addresses the essential theme in a school context, which is a need for this type of research that studies this issue. The article will contribute meaningfully to the existing literature, and other researchers can use the findings from this review as a point of departure.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	References are sufficient. However, there are paragraphs that are not referenced. Some of the references are old. However, it is understood that those are distinguished researchers in a field.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Language is of quality and highly suitable for scholarly communication.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Sabelo Velenkosini Msweli</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Charlotte Maxeke Secondary, South Africa</b>