

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_126970
Title of the Manuscript:	Chaos and Decision Making in Schools and Other Educational Institutions
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article speaks directly to the issues being currently faced by administrators on a global level. With principal training schools in effect, many individuals are led to be trained without the necessary soft skills and readiness level and or entry behaviours. At most, principals are trained based on years of service which is mistaken for quality pre-learning or life experiences. The training at times lacks quality rigor and practical approaches which we know that theory and practice are two different approaches which does not qualify them to operate in complex and chaotic situations. As such, with the complexity and dynamics of schools coupled with the absence of soft skills- chaos will be in effect. This article brings into perspective theories and solutions that can be practiced by leaders in educational settings to fix the issues they are faced with.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Alternative: Chaos Theory and Garbage Can Model as Effective Strategies for Decision Making in Educational Institutions.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The first sentence should include an introduction to the abstract, what is it in general- a mini literature review, etc. 2. It would be good to list the components or tenets of the Garbage Can Model to give readers an overview 3. It would also be good to highlight a background for the article- leadership crisis in educational settings- inexperienced leaders, archaic notions on leadership and decision making 4. There needs to be a methodology, and a stronger cohesive result section should be included in the abstract (the transitions from one aspect to the next in the abstract is missing-highlighting the three main components of an abstract-purpose, methodology and results. 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<p>Subsection- Results and Suggestions be changed to: Strategies for Overcoming Chaos in Educational Institutions</p> <p>Pg 3- should it read the necessity and necessity of participation? (Verma,2005)-please correct</p> <p>Be consistent with the theory terminology do not interchange Trash Can and Garbage Model. There needs to be a tighter connection within the literature keeping the context of educational settings and employ the utilization of transitional adjectives from one aspect of the literature to the next for fluency, organization and structure of a scholarly article.</p>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is not robust and technically sound in its entirety. There needs to be empirical evidence of the practice of the theory/ries being utilized effectively in educational settings highlighting the advantages and disadvantages. A good contrast is needed between the strengths and weaknesses of this model.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	<p>While I do believe that the references are sufficient. There needs to be more recent literature, as in the one done by the authors in 2012. There are also more recent studies done on the Garbage Can Model which should be included.</p> <p>https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/s0733-558x(2012)0000036005/full/html</p> <p>https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/s0733-558x(2012)0000036004/full/html</p> <p>https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/jea-09-2019-0169/full/html</p> <p>https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/real/article/448455</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	To some extent	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Karla Boswell-Lewis
Department, University & Country	College of Education and Leadership, Northern Caribbean University, Jamaica