

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_126448
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the factors hindering the implementation of adult education in Open and Distance Learning system in Mbeya, Tanzania
Type of the Article	

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>I like this manuscript because it holds significant importance for the scientific community. The following sentences highlight its relevance for the scientific community:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Contribution to the understanding of adult education in Open and Distance Learning system in low-income contexts such as Mbeya, Tanzania. 2. Contribution to research in education in Open and Distance Learning system. 3. Practical information for formulating educational policies. 4. Promotes research on topics related to the impact of adult education on community development. 	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes, the article title is suitable because it accurately reflects the content of the article as identifies and analyses the factors affecting adult education through the open and distance learning system in the Mbeya region of Tanzania. The title specifies that the study focuses on Mbeya, Tanzania, and the manuscript delves into the particular context of this region, exploring how its local conditions impact the implementation of adult education in a distance learning environment and, finally, title anticipates that the paper will examine the implementation of adult distance education programs and the factors that hinder it, which coincides with the main objectives of the research that are developed in the content, including the final recommendations to improve such implementation.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes, because the abstract includes the main elements of the article, such as the problem statement, research aims, study design, place and duration of study, methodology, results and conclusions.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes, the structure and subsections are appropriate.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The research used mixed research methodology (qualitative and quantitative) that allows for data triangulation, improving the validity of the results. 2. It was based on an extensive literature review to contextualize and support the analysis of factors affecting adult education, which lends it theoretical rigor. 3. It used structured data collection instruments, such as interviews and validated surveys, to capture information from different actors involved in the education system, which reinforces the objectivity of its conclusions. 4. The data analysis followed recognized statistical and thematic methods, which ensured an accurate and detailed interpretation of the results obtained. 	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The manuscript has a low number of references (less to twenty references), in my opinion this is not sufficient, and most of them are over 8 years old, even though the manuscript was written between 2023 and 2024.</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language/English quality of manuscript is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Danny Junior Soria Obregon
Department, University & Country	Universidad Nacional del Callao, Peru