

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Environment &amp; Ecology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_127226
Title of the Manuscript:	Review of Different Composting Methods towards End Product Quality, Cost of Production, Process Adoptability Potential, Post Soil Application Efficacy and GHG Mitigation Potential – Fundamental factors behind attending objectivities of any Regenerative Farming Program
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides a thorough assessment of the impacts of various composting techniques on soil quality, cost-effectiveness, and greenhouse gas (GHG) mitigation and is important to the scientific community. The results demonstrate that Novcom compost is the most economical and ecologically friendly choice for enhancing soil physicochemical characteristics, microbial activity, and carbon sequestration. The study establishes a strong foundation for sustainable and regenerative farming methods by offering thorough comparison assessments and introducing innovative evaluation measures like the Soil Development Index (SDI) and carbon sequestration factors.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I feel the title is suitable and I don't suggest any changes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is well-structured and provides a comprehensive overview of the study's objectives, methods, and findings.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, they are	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study reveals much research has been done and explored. Since there is a lot of investigation involved and literature review also seems to be quite good and sufficient, I think this manuscript is scientifically sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and apt to the manuscript.	

**Review Form 3**

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<b>The quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication.</b>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	-	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Gayathri G</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>ACS College of Engineering, India</b>