

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_124695
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effectiveness of Community Empowerment With Cibest Analysis: Financial and Spiritual Dimension</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript which aims to measure the effectiveness of community empowered adds to the scientific community by providing in-depth explanation of CIBEST model and the index developed to measure the material poverty, spiritual poverty, absolute poverty and prosperity index. However, the better explanation of the results and discussion with reference to the previous studies conducted in the field would have added more depth and clarity to the manuscript. The addition of limitation of the study in the manuscript could also be highlighted so these limitations be kept in mind while conducting research on similar lines in future.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The duration of the study can be explained in details in abstract as the title in the abstract is given but there is no explanation of duration of study in the abstract	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript defines clearly the concept of CIBEST model and gave detailed account of the formulae and index developed to measure various dimensions of poverty. However, in the data collection section the author says that the research location was several villages. The number of villages and the district covered needs to be mentioned clearly.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	There is scope of adding recent references. The references given at the end need to be referred in the manuscript also. The addition of reference while explaining the results would add to the theoretical knowledge on the subject under study.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language can be improved with special focus on the flow of manuscript from one section to the other. Grammatical errors also need to be rectified.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript may be published after revision.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Manisha Bhatia</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Punjab Agricultural University, India</b>