

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_124695
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effectiveness of Community Empowerment With Cibest Analysis: Financial and Spiritual Dimension</b> <b>Suggested title:</b> Evaluating Community Empowerment Using CIBEST Analysis: Financial and Spiritual Dimensions
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<b>This article is about community empowerment. This manuscript can be useful for social fields. It reflects that programs can improve the condition of the community.</b>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<b>Suggested title:</b> Evaluating Community Empowerment Using CIBEST Analysis: Financial and Spiritual Dimensions	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<b>The abstract needs incorporating some information as suggested by the reviewer.</b>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<b>Yes, they are appropriate according to the nature of the article.</b>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<b>The article deals with community empowerment. The sentences are correct. It indicates that programs can alter and improve the society.</b>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	<b>Some of the references are not uniform. The author needs to consult the instructions provided in the journal for writing the references.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is good though some corrections are required in place of writing the reporting verbs.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Add some information as suggested by the reviewer.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Lok Raj Sharma</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Makawanpur Multiple Campus, Nepal</b>