

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_124695
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of Community Empowerment With Cibest Analysis: Financial and Spiritual Dimension
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study is found relevant from the point of view of evaluation of the government sponsored poverty elevation program. The added importance of this study is that it tries to link the materialistic development with the spiritual practices.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is well justified from the point of view of the content, hypothesis, methodology and recommendations made.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. The abstract is comprehensive and representative. No. Needs some added clarification of project profile and background of respondents.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The principles and methodology followed are in accordance with the set path of research. Statistical tools are well followed. Data analysis part needs to be improved.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, it would have been better if HDC and CIBEST analysis are comparatively explained.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes language used suits to communicate the research study requirements. It is simple and unambiguous.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The study is well conceived, organised, executed and presented. It would have been better had the statistical tests are used to validate the method and accuracy of data.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Gowda N.D</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Saraswathi Law College, India</b>