

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_127472
Title of the Manuscript:	SMALL-SCALE FARMERS' INFORMATION NEEDS AND SOURCES FOR IMPROVED OIL PALM PRODUCTION IN KIGOMA-UJIJI MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, TANZANIA
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<p>Title and subject of the paper has its local importance.</p> <p>2. In the paper everywhere reference numbers are given. But When the particular author is stated for some comments, the name of the author should be written. I.e on page 1 Furthermore, [3] pointed out that, information is one of the... is written whereas Furthermore, Harris et.al. (2001) pointed out... should be written. The same should be corrected in the entire paper.</p> <p>3. In methodology section (in page5,6) some content is found unnecessary, which is highlighted.</p> <p>4. Tables and result discussion are found appropriate.</p> <p>5. Grammatical mistakes (page 8) should be corrected.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Preeti H. Dave
Department, University & Country	ASPEE College of Nutrition and Community Science, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, India