

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_127472
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>SMALL-SCALE FARMERS' INFORMATION NEEDS AND SOURCES FOR IMPROVED OIL PALM PRODUCTION IN KIGOMA-UJIJI MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, TANZANIA</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	For a farmer, information needs are crucial because they provide the necessary knowledge to make informed decisions about their crops and livestock, allowing them to optimize production, manage risks, adapt to changing conditions, and ultimately increase their farm's profitability and sustainability; access to relevant and timely information is key to improving farming practices and ensuring successful harvests.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Abstract is comprehensive and the author can include the year o conduct of research also in abstract	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes appropriate	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript is structured in a good way and the author has cited many studies to complete the discussion part. I found it comprehensive	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> -	Yes sufficient. Its more than enough	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Very much suitable. But the author can take care of the usage of American English and Britain English. Try to use the same throughout the manuscript	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Nothing	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Bhavana Sajeev</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kerala Agricultural University, India</b>