

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_125751
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Squamous cell carcinoma of the Bladder in a 25-year-old male, a rare case of schistosomiasis of the bladder with infiltration of appendix and omentum with Schistosoma eggs: A Case Report.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The article informs the scientific community about a rare case and aims to provide an explanation for its cause The case is well described with proper mentions of all required details. The author has given sufficient effort in the discussion section which further adds to the value of this article.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Yes, it is comprehensive.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The structure of the manuscript is appropriate.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The case is well described with proper mentions of all required details. The author has given sufficient effort in the discussion section which further adds to the value of this article. Therefore, I believe that the article is scientifically robust and technically sound.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Yes, the references are sufficient and most of them are recent. However, the author can add few more recent references if possible.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The author can improve upon the quality of English used in the article.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Soumyajit Das</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Medical College, India</b>