

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_125751
Title of the Manuscript:	Squamous cell carcinoma of the Bladder in a 25-year-old male, a rare case of schistosomiasis of the bladder with infiltration of appendix and omentum with Schistosoma eggs: A Case Report.
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is too complicated and lengthy. Suggested new title; Squamous cell carcinoma of the bladder in a 25-year-old male complicating a case of vesical and extra-vesical schistosomiasis.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abbreviations should be written in full first before it can be used e.g CBC, BUE, Cr, CT The author first stated that "Cystoscopy with biopsy revealed a huge Bladder mass", then in the next paragraph stated that "Unexpectedly, intraoperatively a huge bladder mass was found" Since cystoscopy already showed a huge bladder mass, seeing a huge bladder mass during surgery cannot be unexpected. Kindly revise this statement in the conclusion paragraph of the abstract "Awareness of such atypical presentations" Squamous cell carcinoma is a well-known complication of squamous cell carcinoma and not an atypical presentation. The disseminated nature of the schistosomiasis also makes the progression to squamous more plausible rather than atypical. These points should also be corrected in the main body of the article.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	"This review aims to explore the intricate relationship between schistosomiasis and SCC of the bladder, focusing on epidemiological patterns, underlying pathophysiological mechanisms, clinical manifestations, and current management strategies" This manuscript is scientifically relevant and technically sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :		

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language is suitable though minor corrections of grammar and syntax errors still needed.	
Optional/General comments	Manuscript is generally well written. Consider using more recent references within the last 5 years. Note other comments made under title and abstract.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Babatope Lanre Awosusi
Department, University & Country	King Khalid Hospital Al Majmmah, Saudi Arabia