

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_127652
Title of the Manuscript:	Research Status of Static Performance of Multi-Span Cable-Stayed Bridge
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Paper is at the primary level. It should be more elaborated and should consists more detailed information about the static performance. Incomplete work based on the type of the work.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title of the article is clear but technically not suitable to express the nature of the research. It should be like 'Review of Static Performance' or 'Comparative Study of Static Performance'.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract does not hold the technical language to express the very essence of the research. Quite primary, should be more technical sound. Should be generalized in nature, consist objectives, work status, procedure and result.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	It doesn't look scientifically good. It doesn't hold research structure to present the work.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Reference is not sufficient and up to date.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality is basic, should be technically sound.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rohit Maurya
Department, University & Country	Hindustan College of Science and Technology, India