

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_127652
Title of the Manuscript:	Research Status of Static Performance of Multi-Span Cable-Stayed Bridge
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article summarizes in the briefest possible form some landmark developments in multi-span cable-stayed bridges in China. Therefore its benefit is primarily in directing the interested professionals towards the correct sources for further details.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. Yes	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	This reviewer is not aware of all the available Chinese references. The Ting Kau bridge in Hong Kong and the Peljesac bridge in Croatia, which is a Chinese project, could have been included. Apart from the General Urdaneta Morandi bridge, reference could be made to his bridge in Genoa, The Viaduc de Miullau and the Rion-Antirion bridge are landmarks in multi-span cable-stayed construction worth mentioning.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is mostly correct. The article "the" and/or plurals are inexplicable avoided, particularly in conjunction with "bridge" and "cable". "Double-span" should be "two-span", unless it refers to two parallel decks in the same span. P. 1. "Can be formed" – "Can be resolved". p. 4 "In addition they carried" – who carried? "Increasing the tower" Hight? "Human-shaped" – "A – shaped". "Double tower" – not clear. Statements to the effect that increasing the load increases the force are obvious, unless clarified. "References"	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Are the author(s)' names intentionally omitted?	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Bojidar Yanev</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Columbia University, USA</b>