

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_127336
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF EDUCATIONAL FACILITY ACCESSIBILITY BASED ON THE ZONING SYSTEM IN TARUTUNG DISTRICT: A SPATIAL ANALYSIS AND ITS IMPLICATIONS FOR STUDENT ADMISSION POLICIES
Type of the Article	Journal

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic is very important as it attempts to evaluate the accessibility of education in some selected areas of Tarutung District, North Tapanuli Regency. The result of the evaluation will help to bring education closer to the people. It provides localized data that can inform broader discussions on equity and efficiency in student admissions policies. The research has the potential to guide policymakers in addressing disparities in access to education, promoting inclusivity, and optimizing resource allocation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it is but the author needs to add conclusion to the abstract. This is to say that the abstract lacks conclusion.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientific enough, as it contains the necessary subheading such as; validity and reliability of the research instrument, research methodology, data collection techniques, result and discussions etc. The paper has been able to show high level of reliability through its triangulation sources and analyses. This is very academic.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are significantly sufficient and based on current literatures.	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes the language is less ambiguous and can be understood easily.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	The research is good for publication	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chibuzor Obi Jude
Department, University & Country	Baba-Ahmed University Kano, Nigeria