

Original Research Article

Effect of Nano-Fertilizers and Micronutrient Applications on Yield and Economics of Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) cv. Heemsohna

ABSTRACT

Aims: This study investigates the impact of nano-fertilizers and micronutrient applications on the yield and economic returns of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) cv. Heemsohna under field conditions.

Place, duration and study design: This experiment was conducted at Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, across two growing seasons (2021-22 and 2022-23), the experiment employed a factorial randomized block design with 20 treatment combinations, including varying foliar applications of Nano NPK and nano mixed micronutrients.

Results: Results indicated that the application of Nano NPK (4ml/liter) significantly enhanced fruit set, weight, and overall yield, with nano-boron and zinc further boosting fruit quality. The treatment combination F₂M₃ (Nano NPK at 5ml/liter with nano micronutrients at 6ml/liter) yielded the highest productivity (93.94 t/ha) and was economically most viable, achieving a benefit-cost ratio of 6.42.

Conclusion: The findings underscore the potential of nano-fertilizers to improve nutrient-use efficiency, supporting sustainable tomato production by optimizing yield and profitability.

Keywords: Nano NPK; Nano-Mix Fertilizer; Tomato; Yield; Economics

1. INTRODUCTION

Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) belongs to family Solanaceae is a major horticultural crop cultivated globally, valued for its economic and nutritional importance (Kumar et al., 2020). It plays a significant role in both fresh consumption and as a key ingredient in processed products. The fruit's popularity is due to its adaptability, high productivity, and nutritional composition, making it a vital crop for both large-scale agriculture and smallholder farmers (Lanjwani et al., 2024). As global demand for tomatoes increases, improving yield and fruit quality remains a central goal for researchers and farmers alike (Grieneisen et al., 2018). Both yield and quality are influenced by several factors, including cultivar selection, environmental conditions, and nutrient management.

Nutrient management is critical for tomato production, as tomatoes are considered heavy feeders that demand adequate supply of macro- and micronutrients to reach optimal yield and quality. Nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K) are the primary macronutrients essential for plant growth (Jat et al., 2023; Jat et al., 2024a). Nitrogen, in particular, is crucial for vegetative growth and plays a vital role in chlorophyll production, which directly affects photosynthesis and overall plant health (Simkin et al., 2022; Jat et al.,

Commented [T1]: Space before T

Commented [T2]: Fresh yield

Commented [T3]: Summer or Kharif or...

Commented [T4]: Space after 4

Commented [T5]: Zink or nano Zink

Commented [T6]: Space after 5

Commented [T7]:

Commented [T8]: Crop at first point

Commented [T9]: Mill or L

Commented [T10]: belongs

Commented [T11]: the family

Commented [T12]: an adequate

2024b). Phosphorus is involved in energy transfer and root development, while potassium enhances fruit size, color, and taste by regulating water uptake and improving stress tolerance (Sinha and Tandon, 2020). Recent advancements in nanotechnology have introduced nano-fertilizers as an innovative approach to nutrient management. Nano-fertilizers have gained attention for their potential to improve nutrient-use efficiency and enhance plant growth and yield. They provide controlled release of nutrients, reducing leaching and improving absorption by plants (Iqbal et al., 2019). This is particularly relevant for tomatoes, which are highly sensitive to nutrient deficiencies that can affect both yield and fruit quality. Studies have shown that the application of nano-fertilizers can significantly improve the availability of essential nutrients, leading to higher productivity and better-quality fruits (Almohammed et al., 2023). For example, nano-forms of nutrients such as zinc and boron have been found to enhance enzymatic activities that promote growth and improve the nutritional content of tomato fruits. In addition to macronutrients, micronutrients like zinc and boron play crucial roles in plant physiology, directly influencing yield and quality. Zinc is involved in the synthesis of proteins and nucleic acids, while boron is essential for reproductive development and fruit set (Jat et al., 2024b). Deficiencies in these micronutrients can lead to poor fruit quality, characterized by reduced size, uneven ripening, and low nutrient content. Therefore, the application of both macro- and micronutrients is necessary for ensuring high yields of marketable tomatoes.

Yield parameters in tomatoes are influenced by several factors, including the balance of nutrients, soil health, and external growing conditions. Yield is typically measured in terms of fruit weight, number of fruits per plant, and overall productivity per hectare. The application of nano-fertilizers offers promising results in improving both yield and quality parameters (El-Saadony et al., 2021). For example, nano-nitrogen fertilizers have been shown to promote more efficient nitrogen uptake, leading to improved vegetative growth and higher fruit yields. Similarly, the use of nano-zinc has been linked to increased fruit size and enhanced antioxidant levels, contributing to better marketability and nutritional value (Saini et al., 2023). Nano-boron, in particular, improves fruit set and reduces the incidence of fruit deformities, ensuring a more consistent and higher-quality yield. However, the adoption of nano-fertilizers in agriculture also presents challenges. The long-term effects of these fertilizers on soil health and the environment are still being studied. Some concerns have been raised about the potential for nanoparticle accumulation in the soil and its impact on soil microbes and plant physiology. Therefore, while nano-fertilizers offer significant potential for enhancing tomato production, further research is needed to optimize their application methods and minimize any potential risks. In conclusion, improving the yield and quality of tomato production requires a comprehensive nutrient management strategy that incorporates both macro- and micronutrients. Nano-fertilizers represent an exciting innovation in this field, offering the potential for more efficient nutrient use and higher-quality yields. By addressing nutrient deficiencies more effectively and reducing environmental impacts, nano-fertilizers can play a key role in sustainable tomato production. This study aims to investigate the effects of nano-fertilizers and micronutrients on the yield and economic feasibility of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) cv. Heemsohna under field conditions.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Experimental Site and Treatments Detail

This experiment was conducted at Departmental Research region of Horticulture Department, Naini Agricultural Institute of the Sam Higginbottom University of Agricultural Technology and Sciences in Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh in 2021-22 and 2022-23. The experiment was designed in a factorial randomized block design with consisting of two

Commented [T13]: fertility

Commented [T14]:

Commented [T15]: nano-particle

Commented [T16]: the

Commented [T17]: r capital

Commented [T18]: the

Commented [T19]: put comma

Commented [T20]: specific date of sowing

Commented [T21]: remove

factors with 3 replications. The twenty treatment combinations were allocated randomly to each plot so that each plot received only one treatment within the replication during both years of experimentation.

Cultivar name?

Table 1 Detail of the treatment combinations used during the experimentation

Sr. No.	Treatment symbol	Combination
1.	T ₁	Control
2.	T ₂	100% RDF as traditional fertilizer
3.	T ₃	5ml each of Nano NPK/lit. of water as foliar application 5 ml nano-NPK/L (can be written simply like this)
4.	T ₄	4ml each of Nano NPK/ lit. of water as foliar application
5.	T ₅	3ml each of Nano NPK/lit. of water as foliar application
6.	T ₆	2ml of nano mix micronutrient/ lit. of water as foliar application
7.	T ₇	4ml of nano mix micronutrient/ lit. of water as foliar application
8.	T ₈	6ml of nano mix micronutrient / lit. of water as foliar application
9.	T ₉	100% RDF as traditional fertilizer + 2ml of nano mix micronutrient/ lit. of water as foliar application
10.	T ₁₀	100% RDF as traditional fertilizer + 4ml of nano mix micronutrient/ lit. of water as foliar application
11.	T ₁₁	100% RDF as traditional fertilizer + 6ml of nano mix micronutrient / lit. of water as foliar application
12.	T ₁₂	5ml each of Nano NPK of water as foliar application + 2ml/ lit. of nano mix micronutrient of water as foliar application
13.	T ₁₃	5ml each of Nano NPK of water as foliar application+ 4ml of nano mix micronutrient/ lit. of water as foliar application
14.	T ₁₄	5ml each of Nano NPK of water as foliar application+ 6ml of nano mix micronutrient / lit. of water as foliar application
15.	T ₁₅	4ml each of Nano NPK/lit. of water as foliar application + 2ml of nano mix micronutrient/ lit. of water as foliar application
16.	T ₁₆	4ml each of Nano NPK/ lit. of water as foliar application+ 4ml of nano mix micronutrient/ lit. of water as foliar application
17.	T ₁₇	4ml each of Nano NPK/ lit. of water as foliar application+ 6ml of nano mix micronutrient / lit. of water as foliar application
18.	T ₁₈	3ml each of Nano NPK/ lit. of water as foliar application + 2ml of nano mix micronutrient/ lit. of water as foliar application
19.	T ₁₉	3ml each of Nano NPK/ lit. of water as foliar application+ 4ml of nano mix micronutrient/ lit. of water as foliar application
20.	T ₂₀	3ml each of Nano NPK/ lit. of water as foliar application + 6ml of nano mix micronutrient / lit. of water as foliar application

No need to write of water as foliar application in each treatment (no soil or seed application to specify foliar)

2.2 Observations Recorded

The observations were recorded on five randomly selected plants in each plot. Data were collected in accordance with standard procedures as follows; after fruit setting, the total number of fruit set on five selected plants was averaged. A total number of fruits per plant was calculated by averaging the fruits harvested from five selected plants at each harvest. Weight of five fruits was recorded in gram from five randomly selected plants of each genotype in each replication and then averaged. Five plants from each treatment were selected for fruit picking. Each plant's yield was averaged and expressed as a yield per

Commented [T22]: write without abbreviation as first mention or put under table as key

Commented [T23]: write all nano word either capital or small then followed by dash
nano-NPK
nano-mix

Commented [T24]: liter abbreviation L not lit

Commented [T25]: one space

Commented [T26]:

Commented [T27]: : instead of ;

Commented [T28]: After ? days from sowing

Commented [T29]: W small

Commented [T30]: Used different types or one type ??

plant. Fruit yield per hectare (t) was calculated from the figure of fruit yield per plant or fruit yield per plot.

Kindly write in details: sowing method; either direct seed or transplant (age); plot size; space between rows; time of foliar (one time or more)

2.3 Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted using Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD) as described by Snedecor and Cochran, 1987.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The effect of nano-fertilizers and nano mixed micronutrients on tomato fruit set, fruit weight, fruit yield, and economic parameters over two years (2021 and 2022) is summarized in Tables from 2 to 4. The analysis demonstrated significant improvements in the number of fruits set per plant, fruit weight, yield and profitability with the application of nano fertilizers, particularly when combined with nano mixed micronutrients.

The application of nano NPK significantly increased the number of fruit sets per plant during both years (Table 2a). The highest number of fruit sets was observed with the F₃- 4ml each of Nano NPK/ lit. of water as FA treatment (49.04 and 53.70 in 2021 and 2022, respectively), followed by F₄- 3ml each of Nano NPK/ lit. of water as FA (47.93 and 52.83), both significantly superior to the control (F₀). The combination of nano mixed micronutrients also showed an influence, with the highest number of fruit sets recorded with M₃- 6ml of nano mix micronutrient / lit. of water as FA (46.65 and 51.33 in 2021 and 2022, respectively). However, the interaction effects of nano NPK and nano mixed micronutrient (Table 2b) further revealed that the F₂M₃ combination achieved the maximum number of fruit sets (44.87 in 2021 and 48.22 in 2022). This may be attributed to better synthesis of cytokinin with optimum supply of N and phosphorus resulting in a greater number of flowers and fruits (Premsekhar and Rajashree, 2009). There are also reports on higher fruit setting by soil or foliar supplementation of secondary and micro nutrients which may be attributed to supply of nutrients at critical stage *i.e.* at flowering and fruit set (Raj *et al.*, 2019). Nano micronutrients such as boron is responsible for pollination, formation of pollen tube and also responsible for fruit set. Similar findings were reported by El-Desouky *et al.* (2021) in tomato.

Table 2a: Effect of nano-fertilizer and nano mixed micronutrient on number of fruit set and fruit weight of tomato

Treatments	Number of fruit set/ plant		Fruit weight (g)	
	2021	2022	2021	2022
Factor A: Nano NPK				
F ₀	43.46	47.80	68.79	76.05
F ₁	43.86	47.83	68.87	76.25
F ₂	45.52	49.78	72.69	81.14
F ₃	49.04	53.70	80.36	86.64
F ₄	47.93	52.83	76.11	85.76
SEm±	0.38	0.44	0.54	0.57
CD at 5 %	1.09	1.27	1.56	1.63
Factor B: Nano mix micronutrient				
M ₀	45.77	50.17	72.99	79.70
M ₁	45.59	49.84	73.36	79.91
M ₂	45.83	50.21	73.09	81.80
M ₃	46.65	51.33	74.02	83.26
SEm±	0.34	0.40	0.49	0.51
CD at 5 %	NS	NS	NS	1.46

Commented [T31]: The two

Commented [T32]:

Commented [T33]: Factorial

Commented [T34]: Foliar application
No need to write
Obviously it is foliar in all treatments

Commented [T35]: In all upcoming write dash

Commented [T36]: N and P
Write in same way

Commented [T37]: comma

Commented [T38]: are

Commented [T39]: the

Commented [T40]: remove

Commented [T41]: space
et al all italic or normal

Commented [T42]: standerd deviation or LSD Least significant difference

Interaction A x B				
SEM±	0.76	0.89	1.09	1.13
CD at 5 %	2.17	2.55	3.12	3.25

Note: F₀ – Control (without fertilizer), F₁- 100% RDF as traditional fertilizer, F₂- 5ml each of Nano NPK/ lit. of water as FA, F₃- 4ml each of Nano NPK/ lit. of water as FA, F₄- 3ml each of Nano NPK/ lit. of water as FA, M₀- control (Without micronutrient), M₁- 2ml of nano mix micronutrient/ lit. of water as FA, M₂- 4ml of nano mix micronutrient/ lit. of water as FA, M₃- 6ml of nano mix micronutrient / lit. of water as FA

Commented [T43]: Recommended Dose of Fertilizers

Commented [T44]: w small

Table 2b: Interaction effect of nano-fertilizer and nano mixed micronutrient on number of fruit set and fruit weight of tomato

Treatment combinations	Number of fruit set/ plant		Fruit weight (g)	
	2021	2022	2021	2022
F ₀ M ₀	25.01	28.68	66.00	72.00
F ₁ M ₀	25.95	29.20	67.50	73.67
F ₂ M ₀	32.43	35.65	71.34	79.56
F ₃ M ₀	31.70	34.43	70.33	78.98
F ₄ M ₀	27.33	31.40	68.54	75.00
F ₀ M ₁	26.95	30.20	67.83	74.67
F ₀ M ₂	29.29	32.62	69.33	77.33
F ₀ M ₃	30.45	33.56	69.76	78.00
F ₁ M ₁	28.54	31.87	69.10	76.67
F ₁ M ₂	33.09	36.26	72.65	80.67
F ₁ M ₃	34.53	37.17	73.00	81.56
F ₂ M ₁	38.26	41.89	76.00	85.67
F ₂ M ₂	43.05	46.63	84.00	87.67
F ₂ M ₃	44.87	48.22	84.67	87.67
F ₃ M ₁	37.30	39.78	75.43	84.23
F ₃ M ₂	41.65	44.73	77.33	87.00
F ₃ M ₃	42.20	45.32	77.33	87.17
F ₄ M ₁	35.42	38.66	74.12	82.87
F ₄ M ₂	39.93	42.72	76.33	86.33
F ₄ M ₃	40.65	43.32	76.67	86.67
SEM±	0.48	0.56	1.09	1.13
CD at 5 %	1.39	1.62	3.12	3.25

The fruit weight was significantly influenced by both nano NPK and nano mixed micronutrients (Table 2a). The F₃- 4ml each of Nano NPK/ lit. of water as FA treatment produced the heaviest fruits (80.36 g and 86.64 g in 2021 and 2022, respectively) it was closely followed by treatment F₄- 3ml each of Nano NPK/ lit. of water as FA. The nano mixed micronutrient treatment M₃- 6ml of nano mix micronutrient / lit. of water as FA also resulted in significantly higher fruit weights compared to the control (M₀). The interaction of F₂M₃ again showed the most pronounced effects, with fruit weights reaching 84.67 g in 2021 and 87.67 g in 2022 (Table 2b).

Nano fertilizers and micronutrients had a profound impact on the total fruit weight per plant and yield per hectare (Table 3a). The F₃ - 4ml each of Nano NPK/ lit. of water as FA treatment revealed the highest fruit weight per plant (3.36 kg in 2021 and 3.89 kg in 2022) and the maximum fruit yield (74.72 t/ha in 2021 and 86.43 t/ha in 2022), indicating a substantial yield improvement compared to the control (F₀). Among micronutrient treatments, M₃- 6ml of nano mix micronutrient / lit. of water as FA led to the highest yield (60.44 t/ha in 2021 and 73.65 t/ha in 2022). The interaction effects (Table 3b) showed that the F₂M₃

Commented [T45]: the treatment or remove the

Commented [T46]:

Commented [T47]: put : before it

Commented [T48]: remove space

treatment recorded the maximum yield, reaching 84.43 t/ha in 2021 and 93.94 t/ha in 2022, indicating a synergistic effect of nano NPK and micronutrient applications.

Table 3a: Effect of nano-fertilizer and nano mixed micronutrient on fruit weight per plant and yield of tomato

Treatments	Fruit weight (kg/ plant)		Fruit yield/ha (t)	
	2021	2022	2021	2022
Factor A: Nano NPK				
F ₀	1.99	2.44	44.14	54.29
F ₁	1.96	2.44	43.64	54.17
F ₂	2.45	3.00	54.47	66.60
F ₃	3.36	3.89	74.72	86.43
F ₄	3.01	3.65	66.96	81.09
SEm±	0.02	0.03	0.54	0.45
CD at 5 %	0.07	0.07	1.55	1.31
Factor B: Nano mix micronutrient				
M ₀	2.48	2.98	55.00	66.23
M ₁	2.48	2.95	55.15	65.61
M ₂	2.55	3.09	56.56	68.57
M ₃	2.72	3.31	60.44	73.65
SEm±	0.02	0.02	0.48	0.41
CD at 5 %	0.06	0.06	1.39	1.17
Interaction A x B				
SEm±	0.05	0.05	1.08	0.91
CD at 5 %	0.13	0.14	3.11	2.61

Note: F₀ – Control (without fertilizer), F₁- 100% RDF as traditional fertilizer, F₂- 5ml each of Nano NPK/ lit. of water as FA, F₃- 4ml each of Nano NPK/ lit. of water as FA, F₄- 3ml each of Nano NPK/ lit. of water as FA, M₀- control (Without micronutrient), M₁- 2ml of nano mix micronutrient/ lit. of water as FA, M₂- 4ml of nano mix micronutrient/ lit. of water as FA, M₃- 6ml of nano mix micronutrient / lit. of water as FA.

Table 3b: Interaction effect of nano-fertilizer and nano mixed micronutrient on fruit weight per plant and yield of tomato

Treatment combinations	Fruit weight (kg/ plant)		Fruit yield/ha (t)	
	2021	2022	2021	2022
F ₀ M ₀	1.65	2.06	36.68	45.89
F ₁ M ₀	1.75	2.15	38.92	47.80
F ₂ M ₀	2.31	2.84	51.41	63.03
F ₃ M ₀	2.23	2.72	49.54	60.43
F ₄ M ₀	1.87	2.36	41.63	52.33
F ₀ M ₁	1.83	2.26	40.62	50.11
F ₀ M ₂	2.03	2.52	45.13	56.06
F ₀ M ₃	2.12	2.62	47.20	58.17
F ₁ M ₁	1.97	2.44	43.82	54.30
F ₁ M ₂	2.40	2.93	53.42	65.00
F ₁ M ₃	2.52	3.03	56.02	67.37
F ₂ M ₁	2.91	3.59	64.62	79.75
F ₂ M ₂	3.62	4.09	80.36	90.85
F ₂ M ₃	3.80	4.23	84.43	93.94
F ₃ M ₁	2.81	3.35	62.52	74.46
F ₃ M ₂	3.22	3.89	71.57	86.48
F ₃ M ₃	3.26	3.95	72.52	87.79

F ₄ M ₁	2.63	3.20	58.34	71.19
F ₄ M ₂	3.05	3.69	67.73	81.96
F ₄ M ₃	3.12	3.75	69.26	83.43
SEm±	0.05	0.05	1.08	0.91
CD at 5 %	0.13	0.14	3.11	2.61

The significant increase in the number of fruit sets and fruit weight with nano NPK and nano mixed micronutrient application can be attributed to enhanced nutrient uptake efficiency, improved photosynthetic activity, and the synergistic effects of micronutrients. Nano NPK fertilizers, particularly at 4ml/liter (F₃), provide nano-sized particles that increase the surface area for better nutrient absorption, boosting nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium uptake—critical elements for growth, flowering, and fruit development (Semenova et al., 2024). The application of nano NPK likely enhanced chlorophyll synthesis and photosynthetic efficiency, resulting in better carbohydrate availability for fruit growth, which explains the increase in fruit weight (Abd-Elrahman et al., 2023). Furthermore, nano micronutrients, especially in the M₃ treatment, supplemented essential trace elements that support enzymatic functions and hormonal regulation, contributing to the overall improvement in yield. The interaction of these factors, particularly in the F₂M₃ combination, highlights the synergistic effect of combining nano NPK with micronutrients, resulting in the highest number of fruit sets and yield per hectare.

The fruit size was increased by the application of nano NPK and nano micronutrients due to these nutrients increasing the vigour of plants and assimilating the area and size of fruit, thereby resulting in a higher weight of fruit. However, this increasing trend in yield attributes with foliar application of NPK and nano micronutrients fertilization can be attributed to the physiological and metabolic roles of nutrients in flowering and enhances the supply of carbohydrates, which is necessary for effective pollination and fertility. Similar findings were observed by Rahman et al. (2021) and Roushan et al. (2023) in tomato.

The cost of cultivation, net income, and benefit-cost ratio (B: C ratio) were also analyzed (Fig. 1). The F₂M₂ treatment combination proved to be the most economically beneficial, providing the highest net income (₹ 888,788.50 ha⁻¹) and the highest B: C ratio (6.42). This was followed by F₂M₃, with a B: C ratio of 6.10. The control treatment (F₀M₀) yielded the lowest net income (₹ 294,160.00 ha⁻¹) and B: C ratio (2.67), reinforcing the advantage of nano fertilizers and micronutrients for profitability. The treatments F₃M₂ and F₄M₂ also showed promising economic returns, further supporting the conclusion that nano-fertilizer technology can enhance both yield and economic viability in tomato cultivation.

Commented [T49]: write in the same way
.../L

Commented [T50]: because

Commented [T51]: increase

Commented [T52]: unify the writing way
italic or not

Commented [T53]: Roushan and Singh




Fig. 1: Effect of nano-fertilizer and nano mixed micronutrient on economic attributes of tomato (Based on pooled data of the years 2021 and 2022)

4. CONCLUSION

The study demonstrated the significant positive impact of nano-fertilizers and nano mixed micronutrients on the fruit set, fruit weight, total yield and economic profitability of tomato cultivation. The application of nano NPK (F₃ - 4ml each of Nano NPK/ lit. of water as FA and F₄- 3ml each of Nano NPK/ lit. of water as FA) and nano mixed micronutrients (M₃- 6ml of nano mix micronutrient / lit. of water as FA) maximized the agronomic and economic returns. The interaction of F₂M₃ was found to be the most effective treatment for increasing yield and profitability, making it a recommended practice for tomato growers seeking to optimize both productivity and income.

REFERENCES

- Kumar A, Kumar V, Gull A, Nayik, G.A. Tomato (*Solanum Lycopersicon*). *Antioxidants in vegetables and nuts-Properties and health benefits*, 2020; 191-207.
- Lanjwani BA, Khaskhelly N, Jamali, A.G. Exploring the Agricultural Rights of Tomato Growers and Workers from Sindh: A Case Study of Sub-District Matli. *International Journal of Academic Research for Humanities*, 2024; 4(1): 174-180.
- Grieneisen, M.L., Aegerter, B.J., Scott Stoddard, C., and Zhang, M. Yield and fruit quality of grafted tomatoes, and their potential for soil fumigant use reduction: A meta-analysis. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 2018; 38(3): 1-16.
- Sinha, D. and Tandon, P.K. An overview of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium: Key players in the nutrition process of plants. *Sustainable Solutions for Elemental Deficiency and Excess in Crop Plants*, 2020; 85-117.
- Simkin, A.J., Kapoor, L., Doss, C.G.P., Hofmann, T.A., Lawson, T., and Ramamoorthy, S. The role of photosynthesis-related pigments in light harvesting, photoprotection, and

enhancement of photosynthetic yield in planta. *Photosynthesis Research*, 2022; 152(1): 23-42.

Jat, M.L., Rana, G., Shivran, J.S., Jat, R., Mor, R., Kumari, S., Mehta, G., and Gavri, A. Effect of nutrients and organic substances on growth and quality attributes of apple (*Malus domestica*) cv. Anna in semi-arid region of Haryana. *The Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 2024; 94(1): 050-055.

Commented [T54]: 24 or 23

Jat, M.L., Rana, G.S., Baloda, S., Shivran, J.S., Jat, R.K., Mor, R., and Kumari, S. Correlation and regression analysis between agronomic and quality attributes of apple (*Malus domestica* Borkh.) cv. Anna. *Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology*, 2024a; 27(10): 479-490.

Jat, M.L., Rana, G.S., Shivran, J.S., Jat, R., Jat, R.K., Preet, M.S., Mor, R., Ali, M.F., Alhomrani, M., and Alamri, A.S. Impact of plant nutrients and organic substances on growth, yield, and quality attributes of Anna apple cultivar under semi-arid conditions. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 2024b; pp. 1-16.

Iqbal, M., Umar, S., and Mahmooduzzafar, F. Nano-fertilization to enhance nutrient use efficiency and productivity of crop plants. *Nanomaterials and Plant Potential*, 2019; 473-505.

Almohammed, O., Sekhi, Y., and Ismail, M. A review of nano-fertilization and its role on growth, yield, and quality characteristics of fruit trees. *Tikrit Journal for Agricultural Sciences*, 2023; 23(1): 158-167.

El-Saadony, M.T., Almoshadak, A.S., Shafi, M.E., Albaqami, N.M., Saad, A.M., El-Tahan, A.M., Desoky, E.S.M., Elnahal, A.S., Almakas, A., Abd El-Mageed, T.A., and Taha, A.E. Vital roles of sustainable nano-fertilizers in improving plant quality and quantity: An updated review. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*, 2021; 28(12): 7349-7359.

Saini, S., Kumar, P., Sharma, D.P., Sharma, N.C., Chauhan, A., and Shandil, D. Organic Zn and nano-Zn amino acids chelates modulate quality growth attributes and antioxidant activity for biofortified apple (*Malus x domestica* Borkh.) production. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 2024; 337: 113594.

Snedecore, G.W., and Cochran, W.G. *Statistical Methods*. 6th Edn. Oxford and IBH Publ. Co., 1967.

Commented [T55]: superscript

Semenova, N.A., Burmistrov, D.E., Shumeyko, S.A., and Gudkov, S.V. Fertilizers based on nanoparticles as sources of macro- and microelements for plant crop growth: A review. *Agronomy*, 2024; 14(8): 1646.

Abd-Elrahman, S.H., El-Gabry, Y.A.E.G., Hashem, F.A., Ibrahim, M.F., El-Hallous, E.I., Abbas, Z.K., Darwish, D.B.E., Al-Harbi, N.A., Al-Qahtani, S.M., and Taha, N.M. Influence of nano-chitosan loaded with potassium on potassium fractionation in sandy soil and strawberry productivity and quality. *Agronomy*, 2023; 13(4): 1126.

El-Desouky, H.S., Islam, K.R., Bergesford, B., Gao, G., Harker, T., Abd-El-Dayem, H., Ismail, F., Mady, M., and Zewail, R.M. Nano iron fertilization significantly increases tomato yield by enhancing vegetable growth and photosynthetic efficiency. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 2021; 44(11): 1649-1663.

Raj, M.A., Maheshwaran, P., Mansingh, M.D.I., Vignesh, S., and Aravinthan, M. Studies on the effect of foliar nutrition of macro and micronutrients on growth and yield of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 2019; 8(4): 188-191.

Premsekhar, M., and Rajshree, V. Influence of organic manures on growth, yield, and quality of okra. *American-Eurasian Journal of Sustainable Agriculture*, 2009; 3(1): 6-8.

Roushan, K., and Singh, D. Effect of traditional fertilizer, nano-fertilizer, and micronutrient on growth, yield, and quality of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.). International Journal of Environment and Climate Change, 2023; 13(9): 3154-3162.

Rahman, M.H., Hasan, M.N., Nigar, S., Ma, F., Aly Saad Aly, M., and Khan, M.Z.H. Synthesis and characterization of a mixed nanofertilizer influencing the nutrient use efficiency, productivity, and nutritive value of tomato fruits. ACS Omega, 2021; 6(41): 27112-27120.

References must sorted either alphabetically or numbering (as first mention in the manuscript)

Commented [T56]: Inside text

Roushan et al

UNDER PEER REVIEW