

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_126883
Title of the Manuscript:	PRODUCTIVITY AND PROFITABILITY OF CROPPING SYSTEM AND ROW RATIO ON THE PERFORMANCE OF CHICKPEA ( <i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.) + LINSEED ( <i>Linum usitatissimum</i> L.) INTERCROPPING
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is good for scientific community	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Its suitable and need of today's problems	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Few spelling needs to be check	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient, but there is need to add latest references of 2023-24 for area and productivity and reviews	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This manuscript is good and may be published after addition of latest references and spellings checking.	

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Baljinder Singh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Guru Kashi University, India</b>