

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_126441
Title of the Manuscript:	Challenges faced by International Students in Adapting to Chinese Universities
Type of the Article	Full Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript addresses the adaptation challenges faced by international students in Chinese universities, specifically Zhejiang Normal University. The research is significant for the scientific community because it provides insights into how cultural, linguistic, and environmental factors impact foreign students' educational experiences. By highlighting specific challenges and adaptation strategies, it adds valuable knowledge to the ongoing global discussion on intercultural education and the support needed for international students. This manuscript can inform policy decisions and support programs aimed at enhancing international student experiences.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The current title, "Challenges faced by International Students in Adapting to Chinese Universities," is generally suitable as it directly reflects the main focus of the research. However, a slight modification could make it more specific: "Adaptation Challenges and Strategies of International Students at Chinese Universities: A Case Study of Zhejiang Normal University."</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract provides a comprehensive summary of the study's purpose, methodology, key findings, and recommendations. To enhance its clarity, consider rephrasing the following points:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Emphasize the research's uniqueness by stating why this study is critical within the context of global education. 2. Clarify the methodological approach briefly. 3. Summarize the adaptation strategies more concisely. <p>- For example:</p> <p><i>"This qualitative study investigates the adaptation challenges and coping strategies of international students at Zhejiang Normal University, shedding light on the social, academic, and environmental obstacles they face. Through in-depth interviews, the study identifies language, cultural, and environmental factors impacting students' experiences and offers recommendations for institutions to support smoother adaptation."</i></p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The manuscript is well-structured, with clear subsections for each major theme, including challenges, adaptation strategies, and institutional support. The flow from challenges to solutions is logical and makes the manuscript easy to follow.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically robust as it uses a qualitative methodology that is appropriate for exploring personal experiences and cultural challenges. The use of purposive sampling and thematic analysis adds depth to the findings, making the research both methodologically sound and insightful. The manuscript demonstrates a thorough understanding of relevant literature and provides contextual analysis by comparing findings with other studies. These aspects ensure that the manuscript is scientifically accurate and contributes meaningfully to the field.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -</p>	<p>The references are extensive and include recent and relevant sources. This demonstrates the manuscript's connection to current discussions in the field. Adding more recent studies, particularly from 2023 onwards, as this is already almost 2025, on intercultural adaptation could further enhance the literature review.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? _</p>	<p>The language is generally suitable for scholarly communication, though a few minor grammatical improvements and sentence restructuring could enhance clarity. For example, sentences in the "Methodology" section could be made more concise for better readability.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Consider adding a brief section on the limitations of the study and suggestions for future research within the conclusion to provide a broader perspective on the findings. 2. Highlight the practical implications of the study's recommendations, specifically how Chinese universities might implement these suggestions to support future international students. 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lazarus Obed Livingstone
Department, University & Country	Beijing Institute of Technology, China