

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_111669</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Role of Robotics in Mental Health Interventions: Opportunities and Challenges</b>
Type of the Article	<b>The manuscript and review form do not specifically state the genre of article. But judging by the format and content, it seems more like a review article.</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. The manuscript holds importance for the community as it explores the timely and critical convergence of robotics and mental health. It sheds light on the increasing burden posed by health disorders. Underscores the necessity, for groundbreaking interventions.  2. The title is appropriate as it accurately represents the content of the manuscript.  3. The abstract is well rounded offering a summary of the papers goals, approach and significant discoveries.  4. The article is appropriately organized, with subsections which navigate the reader through the growing difficulties associated with mental health conditions, the advantages of robotically aided interventions, ethical issues, technological limitations, and the influence on society.  5. It would be beneficial to have more precise references in the introduction to reinforce the growing difficulties associated with mental health illnesses.  6. The references are significant and add to the manuscript's depth.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Overall, the language quality is strong. For coherence and clarity, Author might want to consider simplifying a few sentences. To make the abstract more succinct, the sentence "This paper explores the opportunities and challenges associated with utilizing robotics in various aspects of mental health care" may be rephrased.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	To help the reader navigate the different sections of the manuscript, think about including subheadings in the introduction.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Aakash kumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bahria University Health Sciences Campus, Pakistan</b>