

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_127506
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Granular Biostimulants on Growth and Yield Characteristics of Rice (Oryza Sativa) in New Alluvial Zone of West Bengal
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The Research Article is stability. It underscores the importance of the Influence of Granular Biostimulants on Growth and Yield Characteristics of Rice, Applications on Yield, and the Economics of Rice: Enhancing Granular Biostimulants' Interactions on Growth and Yield of Rice. But it needs some straightforward corrections.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	in Abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure of the manuscript is appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research is ok. But it needs some straightforward corrections. The language needs improvements. Many sentences have strange wording that makes the manuscript difficult to read. The Material and Methods could be rewritten to be clear. The Material and Methods lack specific details about the Methods and their implications. The references must be unified according to the context of the journal, References must be arranged entries In alphabetical order.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The method of writing—The method of writing references must be unified according to the context of the journal, and references need to be updated. References must be arranged entries In alphabetical order.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language needs improvements. Many sentences have strange wording that makes the manuscript difficult to read.	
Optional/General comments	The language needs improvements. Material and Methods not clear could be rewritten to be clear of experiment. Need be clear and detailed enough to let another researcher follow it and reproduce.	
	The language needs improvements. Material and Methods not clear could be rewritten to be clear of experiment. Need be clear and detailed enough to let another researcher follow it and reproduce.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hassan Hadi Alkarawi
Department, University & Country	Al-Furat Al-Awsat Technical University, Iraq