

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_125612
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of particle Size and Concentration of ZnO Nanoparticles on Growth, Yield and Seedling parameters in Buckwheat (Fagopyrum esculentum L.)
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack** of **Novelty**' provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: (Review Comments is reserved for the comments from journal editorial office and editors):

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript lacking supporting content like numerical data with and need for previous publication reviewing. Technical conversion are not appropriate referencing this missing in all tables.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Please define article title in 12-14 words. Please refer some agricultural policy reports.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstracts are seems good	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Poor level	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	All paragraphs has need of technical and scientific aspects data.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Not, please check appropriate style of reference and citations	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Please work on language and grammar.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vinay Aseri
Department, University & Country	Rashtriya Raksha University, India