

# Effect of particle Size and Concentration of ZnO Nanoparticles on Growth, Yield and Seedling parameters in Buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum* L.)

## Abstract

The experiment was conducted in both field and lab conditions to study the Effect of Particle Size and Concentration of ZnO Nanoparticles on Growth, Yield and Seedling Parameters in Buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum* L.) Under Late Sowing Conditions in Eastern Uttar Pradesh Region the lab experiment was performed in CRD with four different size ranges of zinc oxide Nanoparticles (20-30nm, 40-50nm, 60-80nm, 80-100nm) each of three concentrations (30PPM, 60PPM, 80PPM). The field experiment was performed during *Rabi* season of 2022-2023 in Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj Uttar Pradesh with a control was laid out in factorial RBD with 3 Replications. The results revealed that T1(20-30nm, 30PPM for 8hrs) shows greater Performance among parameters viz Plant height 30 DAS (23.45), Plant height 80 DAS (91.36), Days to first flowering (26.11), Number of Branches (4.43), Seeds per Plant (142.39), Seed yield per plot (11.87), Seed yield per hectare (1.19), Economic Yield (11.87), Biological Yield (32.76). and Harvest Index (36.23) and T3(20-30nm, 80PPM for 8hrs) exhibit greater in Leaf surface area (22.37), Test Weight (27.34). The lab results revealed the treatment T1(20-30nm, 30PPM for 8hrs) showing greater performance in Germination Percentage (95.63), Root Length (14.57), Seedling Length (24.76), Vigor Index-1 (2369.25), Electric conductivity (0.327), Protein content (13.59), Seed metabolic efficiency and T3 (20-30nm, 80PPM for 8hrs) shows greater Performance in Shoot length (11.57), Fresh Weight (2.19), Dry Weight (0.80), Vigor Index-2 (72.67), Seed Density (0.8), Chlorophyll Content (2.84), Dehydrogenize Activity (0.996).

**Key words: Buckwheat, Zinc Oxide, Yield, Chlorophyll content, Protein content.**

## 1. Introduction

Buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum* L.), Chromosome Number  $2n = 16$  is a Cross pollinated annual crop that belongs to the family Polygonaceae. It is grown as a cover crop and for its seeds, which resemble grains. Buckwheat, despite its name, is not related to wheat and is not a grain at all. The names boc from bechnut and wheat from wheat were combined to form the term "buckwheat" (Robinson, 1980). Because the plant's fruit resembled that of the bechnut, the word "beech" was

invented to describe it. Due to its function and chemical makeup resembling that of traditional cereals, it is a member of a dietary category known as pseudo cereals (Campbell, 1997). Buckwheat, a pseudo-cereal that grows in easy conditions, has the potential to become an important source of nutrition for the "Starving World" (Leder *et al.*, 2009). Buckwheat is quite sensitive to low temperatures, while it tolerates hot temperatures relatively well (Germ and Gabreski 2016). Buckwheat grows best at temperatures ranging from 18 to 23 degrees Celsius. During the spring and autumn cultivation seasons, the average temperature in Korea is 7.3 °C and 25 °C, respectively (Jung *et al.* 2015). Reduced temperatures affect fertilization processes, resulting in reduced grain output in the spring season (Farooq *et al.* 2016).

Germplasm was morphologically characterized based on stem color, seed coat color, and seed morphology. Green, light Green, Pale Red, Crimson, and Dark Red Stem colors were noted. White, Grey, Pale Brown, Dark Brown, and Black Seed Coat color were noted. Documented seed shape for oval, egg-shaped (ovate), Triangular-type, and winged-form seeds. The grains are rich in polyphenols e.g., rutin and catechins which are reported to have antioxidant activity (Morishita *et al.*, 2007). Buckwheat flowers are perfect but incomplete. They have no petals, but the calyx has the appearance of petals. Flowers occur in compact racemes, either terminally on the main stem or on branches from the axil of leaves.

Zinc oxide Nanoparticles have lately been employed and investigated for their impact on plant development in Zn-Nano formulations. Seed, foliar, and soil treatments are used to apply ZnO Nanoparticles. Among these applications, seed invigoration procedures like as seed priming and coating with ZnO Nanoparticles are regarded as relatively inexpensive and ecologically safe. Seed treatments that comprise the addition of ZnO Nanoparticles at the required concentrations result in ZnO Nanoparticles absorption by the seed coat, followed by their penetration and migration through seed tissue layers, which enhances germination and crop biomass. As a result, seed priming and seed coating are a targeted delivery approach for increasing the availability of micronutrients and other elements during the early growth of plants. Cultivation practices, as well as revolutionary seed invigoration techniques and precision farming, can boost seed germination potential, seedling vigor, photosynthesis, and reproductive development, resulting in increased production. Recent Nano-priming, a revolutionary approach of seed priming using designed Nanoparticles, has earned recognition for its unique physiochemical properties in boosting crop output and protection.

Globally, it has been used as Nano-fertilizer especially in Zn-deficit areas in order to enhance plant growth and develop (Sabir *et al.*, 2020). The addition of Nanoparticles may ameliorate nutrient deficiency and improve crop production (Dimkpa *et al.*, 2017). Recent studies have shown a positive impact of ZnO Nanoparticles on plant growth and physiology due to their wide spread applications in agriculture sector.

### **Objectives :**

1. To evaluate the Effect of ZnO Nanoparticles on Growth and Yield parameters of Buckwheat.
2. To find out the Effect of ZnO Nanoparticles on Seedling parameters and Chlorophyll Content, Protein Content and Dehydrogenase Activity of Buckwheat

## **2. Methods and materials**

The experiment was conducted during the Rabi Season of 2022 at field experimentation centre of Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Naini Agriculture Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh. The experimentation site is situated 98m above sea level at 25.570N latitude and 81.560N longitude. Buckwheat variety “IC-107575” sown at 25 X 15 cms which were treated with treatments of different concentrations of ZnO nanoparticles of different sizes.. The 13 treatment combinations along with untreated control were employed in the present study. The details of the treatment combinations, their dosage and the duration of the treatment were, T<sub>0</sub>- Control, T<sub>1</sub>- ZnO @20-30 nm of 30 PPM, T<sub>2</sub>- @20-30 nm of 60 PPM, T<sub>3</sub>-@20-30 nm of 80 PPM, T<sub>4</sub>- @40-50 nm of 30 PPM, T<sub>5</sub>-@40-50 nm of 60 PPM, T<sub>6</sub>-@40-50 nm of 80 PPM, T<sub>7</sub>-@60-80 nm of 30 PPM, T<sub>8</sub>- @60-80nm of 60 PPM, T<sub>9</sub>-@60-80 nm of 80 PPM, T<sub>10</sub>-@80-100 nm of 30 PPM, T<sub>11</sub>-@80-100 nm of 60 PPM, T<sub>12</sub>-@80-100 nm of 80 PPM For 8 hours.

### **2.2 Methodology:**

- **ZnO Nanoparticles suspension preparation**

ZnO Nanoparticles used in this study were purchased from Nano research Lab PVT Limited. Sizes of Nanoparticles are 20-30nm, 40-50nm, 60-80nm, 80-100nm Nanoparticles were directly

suspended in de-ionized water and dispersed ultrasonic vibration (100W, 40 KHz) for 10 minutes at lab of Department of Molecular and cellular Engineering, SHUATS. Small magnetic bars were placed in the suspension for stirring through Ultra sonicator to avoid aggregation of the particles and several suspensions of concentration range up to maximum possible limit were tried for uniform particle dispersion, stability and clear suspension (trial and error method) 3 concentrations of each size Nanoparticles (30, 60, 80 PPM) were selected for their evaluation in Buck wheat seed germination and growth study.

### **3. Result and Discussion**

- **Analysis of Variance in Field conditions**

Analysis of Variance for Effect of particle size and concentration of Zinc oxide Nanoparticles on Growth, Yield parameters in Buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum* L.) under late sowing conditions in Eastern Uttar Pradesh region. In Table 1.

- **Analysis of Variance in Seedling Parameters**

The Analysis of Variance for Effect of particle size and concentration of Zinc oxide Nanoparticles on Seedling parameters, Chlorophyll Content, protein Content, Dehydrogenize Activity in Buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum* L.) under late sowing conditions in Eastern Uttar Pradesh region. In Table 2.

**Table: 1 Analysis of variance for different characters in Buckwheat under field conditions**

| S.No | Characters             | Mean sum of squares   |                        |                  |
|------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
|      |                        | Treatments (d.f = 13) | Replications (d.f = 3) | Error (d.f = 24) |
| 01   | Plant Height at 30 DAS | 3.53*                 | 0.21                   | 0.35             |
| 02   | Plant Height at 85 DAS | 18.52*                | 5.21                   | 5.59             |
| 03   | Days to 50% Flowering  | 4.11*                 | 0.45                   | 0.62             |
| 04   | Number of Branches     | 0.79*                 | 0.00                   | 0.01             |
| 05   | Leaf Surface Area      | 8.46*                 | 0.02                   | 0.19             |
| 06   | Seeds per Plant        | 622.29*               | 21.23                  | 10.43            |
| 07   | Seed Yield per Plot    | 14.75*                | 0.14                   | 0.05             |
| 08   | Seed Yield per ha      | 0.15*                 | 0.00                   | 0.00             |
| 09   | Test Weight            | 9.15*                 | 0.02                   | 0.50             |
| 10   | Economic Yield         | 14.75*                | 0.14                   | 0.05             |
| 11   | Biological Yield       | 65.72*                | 1.22                   | 0.49             |
| 12   | Harvest Index          | 31.76*                | 1.21                   | 1.28             |

**Table: 2 Analysis of variance for different characters in Buckwheat under field conditions**

| S.No | Characters               | Mean Sum Of Squares (MSS) |                  |
|------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
|      |                          | Treatments (d.f = 13)     | Error (d.f = 39) |
| 01   | Germination percent      | 34.5*                     | 3.07             |
| 02   | Root Length              | 7.63*                     | 0.067            |
| 03   | Shoot length             | 4.79*                     | 2.20             |
| 04   | Seedling length          | 9.5*                      | 2.25             |
| 05   | Fresh Weight of seedling | 0.3*                      | 0.07             |
| 06   | Dry Weight of seedling   | 0.06*                     | 0.01             |
| 07   | Seedling Vigor Index 1   | 156025.15*                | 16460.61         |
| 08   | Seedling Vigor Index 2   | 2657.13*                  | 60.73            |
| 09   | Chlorophyll Content      | 1.192*                    | 0.003            |
| 10   | Protein Content          | 7.16*                     | 0.036            |
| 11   | Dehydrogenize activity   | 0.077*                    | 0.00             |

**\*indicates significance at 5%**

### **3.1 Physiological Observations:**

At 30 DAS significantly higher Plant Height was observed in T<sub>1</sub> seeds treated with ZnO Nanoparticles of 20-30nm (30ppm for 8hrs) with 23.45cm and the lowest was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> (20.16 cm). At 85 DAS Plant Height was significantly higher in (T<sub>1</sub>) seeds treated with ZnO Nanoparticles of 20-30nm (30ppm for 8hrs) with 91.36cm, Followed by T<sub>4</sub> is 90.89 cm. Lowest Plant Height was observed in T<sub>0</sub> with 84.14cm. Days to First Flowering that was recorded during the experimental crop growth, showing a significant better performance in the seeds that are primed with ZnO Nanoparticles of size 20-30 nm(30 PPM for 8hrs) T<sub>1</sub> with 26.11 DAS Showig least DAS for 50% flowering and followed by T<sub>6</sub> with 26.47 DAS. A lowest day to 50% Flowering was observed in T<sub>0</sub> with 29.71 DAS. From The present data on Leaf surface area that was recorded during the experimental crop growth, showing a significant higher leaf surface area in the seeds that are primed with ZnO Nanoparticles of size 20-30 nm(80 PPM for 8hrs) T<sub>3</sub> with 22.37nm that is followed by T<sub>6</sub> with 22.11nm. Lowest Leaf Surface area was observed in T<sub>0</sub> with 17.72nm. Number of Primary Branches that was recorded during the experimental crop growth, showing a significant high number of branches in the seeds that are primed with ZnO Nanoparticles of size 20-30 nm(30 PPM for 8hrs) T<sub>1</sub> with 4.43 branches and followed by T<sub>4</sub> with 4.28 branches. Lowest number of branches was observed in T<sub>0</sub> with 2.84 branches. Number of seed per plant that was recorded during the experimental crop growth, shows a significant high number of seeds per plant in T<sub>1</sub> with ZnO Nanoparticles of size 20-30 nm(30 PPM for 8hrs) with 142 seeds, followed by T<sub>4</sub> with 139 seeds. Lowest number of seeds per plant was observed in T<sub>0</sub> with 101 seeds. Seed yield per plot that was recorded shows a significant high Performance in T<sub>1</sub> with ZnO Nanoparticles of size 20-30 nm(30 PPM for 8hrs) with 11.87g that is followed by T<sub>4</sub> with 11.28g. Lowest seed yield per plot was observed in T<sub>0</sub> with 5.54g. Seed Yield per Hectare that was recorded showed a significant high performance in T<sub>1</sub> with ZnO Nanoparticles of size 20-30 nm(30 PPM for 8hrs) with 1.19 t/ha that is followed by T<sub>4</sub> with 1.13 t/ha. Lowest seed yield per hectare was observed in T<sub>0</sub> with 0.55 t/ha. Test Weight that was recorded showing a significant high Performance in the seeds that are primed with ZnO Nanoparticles of size 20-30 nm(80 PPM for 8hrs) T<sub>3</sub> with 27.34g that is followed by T<sub>6</sub> with 27.19 g. Lowest Test Weight was observed in T<sub>0</sub> with 22.49g. ZnO Nanoparticles of size 20-30nm (30PPM for 8hrs) T<sub>1</sub> with 11.87g That is followed by T<sub>4</sub> with 11.28 g. The lowest Economic Yield was obtained in T<sub>0</sub> with 5.54g. Biological Yield was

obtained in T<sub>1</sub> with ZnO Nanoparticles of size 20-30nm (30PPM for 8hrs) with 32.76 g and that is followed by T<sub>4</sub> with 32.14 g and T<sub>0</sub> with 19.33 g was the lowest among all other treatments. Harvest Index was obtained in T<sub>1</sub> with ZnO Nanoparticles of size 20-30nm (30PPM for 8hrs) with 36.23% and that is followed by T<sub>4</sub> with 35.09 % and T<sub>0</sub> with 28.41% was the lowest among all other treatments.

**Table 3: Mean performance of Effect of particle size and concentration of Zinc oxide Nanoparticles on growth, yield parameters in buckwheat**

| Treatments      | PH 85 DAS    | DFE          | LSA          | NPB         | NSP           | SYP          | SYH         | TW           | EY           | BY           | HI           |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| T <sub>0</sub>  | 84.14        | 29.71        | 17.72        | 2.84        | 101.28        | 5.54         | 0.55        | 22.49        | 5.54         | 19.33        | 28.41        |
| T <sub>1</sub>  | 91.36        | 26.11        | 21.79        | <b>4.43</b> | <b>142.39</b> | <b>11.87</b> | <b>1.19</b> | 25.31        | <b>11.87</b> | <b>32.76</b> | <b>36.23</b> |
| T <sub>2</sub>  | 89.49        | 26.68        | 20.83        | 3.84        | 127.59        | 10.45        | 1.05        | 26.83        | 10.45        | 30.77        | 33.98        |
| T <sub>3</sub>  | 87.16        | 27.83        | <b>22.37</b> | 3.31        | 109.35        | 7.14         | 0.71        | <b>27.34</b> | 7.14         | 25.17        | 28.51        |
| T <sub>4</sub>  | <b>90.89</b> | 28.14        | 21.38        | <b>4.28</b> | <b>139.54</b> | 11.28        | <b>1.13</b> | 24.46        | <b>11.28</b> | <b>32.14</b> | <b>35.09</b> |
| T <sub>5</sub>  | 88.61        | 26.87        | 20.64        | 3.67        | 121.73        | 9.67         | 0.97        | 26.58        | 9.67         | 28.75        | 33.64        |
| T <sub>6</sub>  | 85.73        | 26.47        | <b>22.11</b> | 3.19        | 106.68        | 6.84         | 0.68        | <b>27.19</b> | 6.84         | 23.75        | 28.80        |
| T <sub>7</sub>  | <b>90.46</b> | <b>29.12</b> | 18.76        | 4.11        | 135.38        | <b>11.09</b> | 1.11        | 23.15        | 11.09        | 31.64        | 35.05        |
| T <sub>8</sub>  | 88.24        | 28.46        | 20.37        | 3.51        | 117.46        | 9.25         | 0.93        | 23.87        | 9.25         | 27.66        | 33.44        |
| T <sub>9</sub>  | 85.26        | 27.19        | 18.12        | 3.06        | 105.73        | 6.39         | 0.64        | 26.17        | 6.39         | 21.24        | 30.08        |
| T <sub>10</sub> | 90.16        | <b>29.38</b> | 18.57        | 3.97        | 130.24        | 10.73        | 1.07        | 22.64        | 10.73        | 31.12        | 34.50        |
| T <sub>11</sub> | 87.73        | 28.74        | 19.43        | 3.43        | 111.29        | 8.43         | 0.84        | 23.69        | 8.43         | 26.32        | 32.06        |
| T <sub>12</sub> | 84.43        | 27.58        | 17.81        | 2.98        | 103.21        | 6.04         | 0.60        | 25.67        | 6.04         | 20.63        | 29.32        |
| <b>F Test</b>   | S            | S            | S            | S           | S             | S            | S           | S            | S            | S            | S            |
| <b>S.EM (±)</b> | 1.37         | 0.45         | 0.05         | 0.05        | 1.86          | 0.12         | 0.01        | 0.41         | 0.12         | 0.4          | 0.56         |
| <b>CD 5%</b>    | 3.99         | 1.32         | 0.14         | 0.14        | 5.44          | 0.36         | 0.04        | 1.19         | 0.36         | 1.17         | 1.63         |

**DAS: Days after sowing; PH: Plant height; LSA: Leaf Surface Area; NPB: Number of Primary branches; DFE: Days to First flowering; NSP: Number of seeds per plant; SYP: Seed Yield per plot; SYH: Seed yield Per Hectare; TW: Test Weight; BY: Biological yield; HI: Harvest Index**

### 3.2 Seedling Observations:

Germination percent shows variation significantly among all treatments. T<sub>1</sub> seeds treated with ZnO Nanoparticles of size 20-30nm (30PPM for 8hrs) Showed higher germination percent than other treatments (95.63%) and Followed by T<sub>4</sub> with (94.57%). The lowest germination percent was observed in (T<sub>0</sub>) with 85.74%. Root Length shows variation significantly among all treatments. T<sub>1</sub> seeds treated with ZnO Nanoparticles of size 20-30nm (30PPM for 8hrs) Showed higher Root Length than other treatments (14.57cm) and Followed by T<sub>4</sub> with (14.25cm). The lowest Root Length was observed in T<sub>0</sub> with (10.69cm). Shoot length shows variation significantly among all treatments. T<sub>3</sub> seeds treated with ZnO Nanoparticles of size 20-30nm (80PPM for 8hrs) Showed higher shoot length than other treatments (11.57cm) and Followed by T<sub>6</sub> with (11.41cm). The lowest shoot length was observed in T<sub>0</sub> with (8.53cm). Seedling length shows variation significantly among all treatments. T<sub>1</sub> seeds treated with ZnO Nanoparticles of size 20-30nm (30PPM for 8hrs) Showed higher seedling length than other treatments (24.26cm) and Followed by T<sub>4</sub> with (24.18cm). The lowest seedling length was observed in T<sub>0</sub> with (19.22cm). Higher Seedling Fresh Weight recorded in the seeds treated with ZnO Nanoparticles of size 20-30nm (80PPM for 8hrs) of T<sub>3</sub> with 2.39g than other treatments that is followed by T<sub>6</sub> with 2.11g. The lowest seedling fresh Weight was observed in T<sub>0</sub> with (1.25g). Higher Seedling Dry Weight recorded in the seeds treated with ZnO Nanoparticles of size 20-30nm (80PPM for 8hrs) of T<sub>3</sub> with 0.80g than other treatments that is Followed by T<sub>6</sub> with 0.79g. The lowest seedling Dry Weight was observed in T<sub>0</sub> with (0.43g). Seedling Vigor Index -I was observed in seeds treated with (T<sub>1</sub>) ZnO Nanoparticles of size 20-30nm (30PPM for 8hrs) with 2369.25. and that is followed by T<sub>4</sub> with 2286.31. The lowest Vigor Index -I was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> with 1648.02. Seedling Vigor Index-II was observed in seeds treated with (T<sub>3</sub>) ZnO Nanoparticles of size 20-30nm (80PPM for 8hrs) with 72.67 and that is followed by T<sub>6</sub> with 71.07. The lowest Vigor Index -II was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> with 37.23. Seed Density is shown that ZnO Nanoparticles of size 20-30nm (80PPM for 8hrs) (T<sub>3</sub>) is significantly higher (0.8) over all other treatments and that is followed by T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> with Seed Density (0.7). The lowest Seed Density was observed in T<sub>0</sub> (0.2). From the data that was recorded, Seeds primed with treatment T<sub>1</sub> of ZnO Nanoparticles of size 20-30nm (30PPM for 8hrs) shows significantly higher electrical conductivity with (0.327) that is followed by T<sub>6</sub> with (0.259). The lowest electrical conductivity was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> with (0.153). From the data that was recorded, Seeds primed with

treatment T<sub>1</sub> of ZnO Nanoparticles of size 20-30nm (30PPM for 8hrs) had shown higher Seed metabolic efficiency with (1.01) and that is followed by T<sub>3</sub> with (0.97). The lowest seed metabolic efficiency was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> with (0.84).

**Table 4: Mean performance of Effect of particle size and concentration of Zinc oxide Nanoparticles on Seedling parameters, Chlorophyll Content, Protein Content, Dehydrogenize activity in buckwheat**

| Treatments      | GP           | RL           | SL           | Se.L         | FW          | DW          | VI-I           | VI-II        | SD         | EC           | SME          | CC          | PC           | DE           |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| T <sub>0</sub>  | 85.74        | 10.69        | 8.53         | 19.22        | 1.25        | 0.43        | 1648.02        | 37.23        | 0.2        | 0.153        | 0.84         | 1.21        | 9.61         | 0.593        |
| T <sub>1</sub>  | <b>95.63</b> | <b>14.57</b> | 10.19        | <b>24.76</b> | 1.85        | 0.60        | <b>2369.25</b> | 58.16        | 0.7        | <b>0.327</b> | <b>1.01</b>  | 2.65        | <b>13.59</b> | 0.795        |
| T <sub>2</sub>  | 92.68        | 13.41        | 11.35        | 24.76        | 2.09        | <b>0.76</b> | <b>2295.35</b> | <b>70.58</b> | <b>0.7</b> | 0.199        | 0.97         | 2.31        | 12.74        | 0.935        |
| T <sub>3</sub>  | 90.29        | 11.63        | <b>11.57</b> | 23.20        | <b>2.19</b> | <b>0.80</b> | 2096.00        | <b>72.67</b> | <b>0.8</b> | 0.227        | <b>0.974</b> | <b>2.84</b> | 11.21        | <b>0.996</b> |
| T <sub>4</sub>  | <b>94.57</b> | <b>14.25</b> | 9.92         | <b>24.18</b> | 1.73        | 0.59        | 2286.31        | 56.05        | 0.7        | 0.164        | 0.931        | 2.43        | 13.35        | 0.744        |
| T <sub>5</sub>  | 91.87        | 12.89        | 11.16        | 24.05        | 2.05        | 0.71        | 2208.19        | 65.20        | 0.6        | 0.208        | 0.963        | 2.26        | 12.48        | 0.887        |
| T <sub>6</sub>  | 89.62        | 11.35        | <b>11.41</b> | 22.76        | <b>2.11</b> | 0.79        | 2039.39        | 71.07        | 0.8        | 0.259        | 0.88         | <b>2.70</b> | 10.93        | <b>0.968</b> |
| T <sub>7</sub>  | 93.68        | 14.09        | 8.75         | 22.84        | 1.56        | 0.49        | 2143.52        | 45.89        | 0.5        | 0.186        | 0.94         | 1.81        | 13.11        | 0.634        |
| T <sub>8</sub>  | 91.38        | 12.60        | 9.75         | 22.35        | 1.67        | 0.56        | 2043.62        | 51.23        | 0.6        | 0.214        | 0.942        | 2.18        | 11.89        | 0.705        |
| T <sub>9</sub>  | 87.74        | 10.97        | 10.86        | 21.835       | 1.96        | 0.67        | 1915.51        | 59.26        | 0.4        | <b>0.273</b> | 0.92         | 1.42        | 10.57        | 0.846        |
| T <sub>10</sub> | 93.27        | 13.76        | 8.61         | 22.37        | 1.39        | 0.44        | 2080.03        | 41.80        | 0.4        | 0.193        | 0.91         | 1.5         | <b>12.98</b> | 0.611        |
| T <sub>11</sub> | 90.76        | 12.35        | 9.41         | 21.76        | 1.63        | 0.50        | 1975.94        | 46.01        | 0.5        | 0.22         | 0.85         | 2.02        | 11.46        | 0.657        |
| T <sub>12</sub> | 87.48        | 10.83        | 10.48        | 21.31        | 1.92        | 0.63        | 1863.54        | 55.27        | 0.3        | 0.301        | 0.85         | 1.35        | 9.82         | 0.823        |
| F Test          | S            | S            | S            | S            | S           | S           | S              | S            |            |              |              | S           | S            | S            |
| S.EM (±)        | 0.87         | 0.13         | 0.74         | 0.75         | 0.13        | 0.05        | 64.14          | 4.95         |            |              |              | 0.028       | 0.095        | 0.007        |
| CD (p=0.05)     | 2.5          | 0.37         | 2.13         | 2.15         | 0.38        | 0.15        | 184.19         | 14.23        |            |              |              | 0.08        | 0.273        | 0.021        |

GP-Germination Percentage; RL-Root length; SL-Shoot length; SeL-Seedling length; FW-Fresh weight ; DW-Dryweight; VI-I-Vigour index-I; VI-II-Vigour index-II; SD-Seed density; SME- Seed metabolic efficiency ;EC-Electrical conductivity

### 3.3 Biochemical analysis:

Chlorophyll Content which was recorded as significant higher in seeds Primed with (T<sub>3</sub>) ZnO Nanoparticles of size 20-30nm (80PPM for 8hrs) with 2.84 %. However that is followed by T<sub>6</sub> with (2.64%).The lowest chlorophyll Content was observed in T<sub>0</sub> with (1.21%).Protein Content which was recorded as significant higher in seeds treated with (T<sub>1</sub>) ZnO Nanoparticles of size 20-30nm (30PPM for 8hrs) with 13.59. However that is followed by T<sub>4</sub> with protein Content (13.35). The Lowest protein Content was observed in T<sub>0</sub>with (9.61).Dehydrogenize Activity. It is clear that seeds treated

with ZnO Nanoparticles of size 20-30nm (80PPM for 8hrs) (T<sub>3</sub>) performed better and is significantly higher over all other treatments with 0.996 and that is followed by T<sub>6</sub> with 0.968 respectively and lowest dehydrogenize activity was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> with 0.593.

**Table 5: Mean performance of Effect of particle size and concentration of Zinc oxide Nanoparticles on Chlorophyll Content, Protein Content, Dehydrogenize activity in buckwheat**

| Treatments             | CC          | PC           | DE           |
|------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| T <sub>0</sub>         | 1.21        | 9.61         | 0.593        |
| T <sub>1</sub>         | 2.65        | <b>13.59</b> | 0.795        |
| T <sub>2</sub>         | 2.31        | 12.74        | 0.935        |
| T <sub>3</sub>         | <b>2.84</b> | 11.21        | <b>0.996</b> |
| T <sub>4</sub>         | 2.43        | 13.35        | 0.744        |
| T <sub>5</sub>         | 2.26        | 12.48        | 0.887        |
| T <sub>6</sub>         | <b>2.70</b> | 10.93        | <b>0.968</b> |
| T <sub>7</sub>         | 1.81        | 13.11        | 0.634        |
| T <sub>8</sub>         | 2.18        | 11.89        | 0.705        |
| T <sub>9</sub>         | 1.42        | 10.57        | 0.846        |
| T <sub>10</sub>        | 1.5         | <b>12.98</b> | 0.611        |
| T <sub>11</sub>        | 2.02        | 11.46        | 0.657        |
| T <sub>12</sub>        | 1.35        | 9.82         | 0.823        |
| <b>F Test</b>          | S           | S            | S            |
| <b>S.EM (±)</b>        | 0.028       | 0.095        | 0.007        |
| <b>CD<br/>(p=0.05)</b> | 0.08        | 0.273        | 0.021        |

**CC-Chlorophyll Content ; DE-Dehydrogenize Activity ; PC-Protein Content**

## Discussion

In present investigation was conducted to know the Effect of particle Size and Concentration of ZnO Nanoparticles on Growth, Yield and Seedling parameters in Buckwheat crop as experimental results proved the beneficial effect of Nanoparticles, some logical explanation has been discussed in detail in favor to particle size and concentration . Buckwheat seeds treated with ZnO Nanoparticles Buckwheat seeds to germination test under laboratory conditions along with untreated seeds (control). The results pertaining to seed germination and early seedling growth of seeds treated with ZnO Nanoparticles are given in table 4.The seeds treated with ZnO Nanoparticles treated Buckwheat

seeds showed significantly more seed germination and seedling performance with respect radical and shoot growth as compared to control (untreated) seed

Tables 3 and 4 show that ZnO Nanoparticles sized 20 to 30, 40 to 50, 60 to 80, and 80 to 100 nm significantly improved vegetative growth, triggered early flowering, and seed output in treated Buckwheat plants.

**Deore et al., (2010)** studied the effect of liquid organic fertilizer supplemented with chelated micronutrients (containing Zn) on red pepper and observed increased growth and yield. Similarly **Datir et al., (2010)** studied the effect of organically chelated micronutrients (containing Zn) on growth and productivity in okra and reported increased growth and yield due to chelated micronutrient fertilizer. Also reported application of amino acid chelated micronutrients (containing Zn) for enhancing growth and productivity in chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.)

**Prasad et al., (2012)** suggested that ZnO Nanoparticles are absorbed by plants to a larger extent as compared to ZnSO<sub>4</sub> bulk. They also observed beneficial effects of Nanoparticles in enhancing plant growth, development and yield in peanut at lower doses, but a higher concentration ZnO Nanoparticles were detrimental just as the bulk nutrients. Similar results were noted by **Racuciu and Creanga (2007)** on plant growth in *Zea mays* L. at early onto genetics tags due to treatment of magnetic Nanoparticles coated.

ZnO nanoparticles also increase the expression and activity of the nitrate reductase and carbonic anhydrase, two enzymes which play key role in nitrogen uptake and chlorophyll synthesis respectively. These all indicate the positive effect on maize by ZnO nanoparticles which increase in root and shoot length ultimately leads to increase in vigour index (**Sarkosh et al.,2022, Solanki et al.,2018**) Zinc (Zn) is an essential micronutrient that acts as a co-factor for many enzymes and facilitates protein folding. It is involved in numerous vital processes such as photosynthesis, antioxidant defense system and disease resistance (**Alloway., 2008**).

**Raskar and Laware (2014)** Studied the effect of ZnO Nanoparticles on seed germination and seedling growth in Onion and observed that seed germination increased at lower concentrations of ZnO Nanoparticles but decreased at higher concentrations. **Yilmaz et al., (1998)** studied the effect of seed zinc content on grain yield and zinc concentration of wheat growth in zinc-deficient calcareous soils. They observed that wheat plants emerging from seeds with low Zn content had poor seedling vigor and field establishment on Zn-deficient soils. **Rengel and Graham (1995)** Reported from a pot culture

experiment on wheat plants that increasing seed zinc content from 0.25 g to 0.70 g per seed significantly improved root and shoot growth under Zn deficiency

As we know zinc is a micronutrient its requirement in plant is limited therefore concentration of zinc oxide Nanoparticles plays a major role. Higher concentrations increase the availability zinc ions to the plant and helps in its growth and development. Increase in germination is due to increased absorption of Nanoparticles into the seed coat and consequently the increase in the water absorption, light, activity of rubisco enzyme. Light activates the PIL-5 protein destruction pathway and leads to an increase in the biosynthesis and degradation of GA which, in turn, results in rapid seedling growth (**Iziy et al.,2019**)

By treating the seeds with zinc oxide Nanoparticles responsible for activating the enzymes by fusing with the formation of chlorophyll in most plants and accelerate company growth hormone, such as tryptophan this increase in production is the main place to store carbohydrates in plants, carbohydrates are grains that eventually led to increase number of seeds per plant as a source, and storage carbohydrates, and increase the yield of maize. Increase in seed index might be due to zinc plays a key role as an activator of enzymes in plants and it involved in the biosynthesis of auxin, which produces more cells and dry matter that in turn will be stored in seeds as sink. Thus the increase in the kernels is more expected and results are obtained good or might be due to the proper and adequate supply of Zn increased the uptake of N during the grain formation stage and ultimately improved the seed index of maize **Kumar et al., (2021)**.

The mobility of Nanoparticles is known to be very high which ensure the phloem transport and ensure the nutrients to reach all the parts of plants and there by affecting the conversion rate to higher dry matter accumulation which ultimately leads to increase in harvest index. The results are significantly in accordance with (**Poornima et al., 2019**). The seed nutrient quality status are estimated the seed treatment with ZnO Nanoparticles improved protein content compared to untreated seeds zinc enhances cation- exchange capacity of the roots, which in turn enhances surface absorption of essential nutrients, especially nitrogen which is responsible for higher protein content (**Tarafdar et al.,2013**). The improvement in the zinc content is due to small size with large area which leads to absorption of zinc and turn its concentration in seeds (**Reddy 2014**.)

**Deore and Laware (2011)** studied effect of liquid organic fertilizer supplemented with organically chelated micronutrients (Zn, Cu and Fe) in red pepper and tomato and observed beneficial effects on seed germination and early seedling growth, they attributed these favorable effects to the availability

of micronutrients to seeds during seed germination. Zinc enhances cat ion exchange capacity of the roots, which in turn enhances absorption of essential nutrients, especially nitrogen which is responsible for higher protein content. Zinc plays vital role in carbohydrate and proteins metabolism as well as it controls plant growth hormone i.e IAA. Zn is also an essential component of dehydrogenase, proteinase, and peptides enzymes as well as promotes starch formation, seed maturation and production. These facts indicate that the availability Zn to seed or high Zn content with in the seeds during seed germination has very important physiological roles in seed germination and early seedling growth. The higher percent seed germination

## Conclusion

- Among various treatments T<sub>1</sub> in which seeds are treated with 30PPM of size 20nm-30nm performed better in terms of Plant Height-85DAS, Days to first flowering, Number of branches/Plant, Leaf surface area, Number of Seeds/plant, Seed yield per Plot, Seed yield Per Hectare, Economic Yield, Biological Yield, Harvest Index and seeds treated with 80PPM of size 20nm-30nm (T<sub>3</sub>) performed better in terms of Leaf surface area and Test Weight. When compared with the Control (T<sub>0</sub>) which has shown lowest performance in all parameters. Among all the treatments T<sub>1</sub> in which seeds treated with 30PPM of Zinc oxide (ZnO) of 20-30nm size for 8hrs has shown higher level of Germination Percentage, Root Length, Seedling length, Vigor Index-1, Electric conductivity, seed metabolic efficiency, Protein Content, and Seeds Treated With 80PPM of Size 20nm-30nm (T<sub>3</sub>) Shown high level of Shoot Length, Fresh Weight, Dry Weight, Vigor Index-II Seed Density, Chlorophyll Content and Dehydrogenize Activity. when compared with the Control(T<sub>0</sub>) which has shown lowest performance in all parameters

## References

- Abd El-Rahman, K.A., E.A. Teama., F.M. Fathy and Abdelaziz (2020).** Effect of Foliar Spray by Different Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles Concentrations at Various Growth Stages on Growth Yield and its Components of Maize. *Assiut J.Agric.Sci.* **51**(2);43-53.
- Afshar, i., Akbar, r. and Minoo, S., (2014),** Comparison of the effect of spraying different amounts of Nano Zinc Oxide and Zinc Oxide .*Int. Jour. Adv. Biol. Biom. Res.*, 2(4);318-325.

**Amita Hajra and Naba Kumar Mondal (2017).** Effect of ZnO and TiO<sub>2</sub> Nanoparticles on germination, Biochemical and morphoanatomical attributes of *Cicer arietinum* L. *Energ.Ecol. Environ.* **2**(4);277-288. DOI: 10.1007/s4097-017-0059-6.

**Alloway BJ (2008).** Zinc in soils and crop nutrition. Brussels, Belgium: Online book published by *the International Zinc Association*; 2008.

**Boonyanitipong P., Kositup, B., Kumar, P., Barauh, B. and Dutta, J. (2011).** Toxicity of ZnO and TiO<sub>2</sub> Nanoparticles on germination Rice seed (*Oryza sativa* L.) *International Journal of Bioscience, Biochemistry and Bioinformatics.* **1**(4)282-285.

**Campbell, C.G. (1997).** *Buckwheat: Fagopyrum esculentum Moench Biodiversity International.* **19**(6): 22-29.

**Datir, R.B., Apparao B.J. and Laware, S.L. 2012.** Application of amino acid chelated micronutrients for enhancing growth and productivity in chilli (*Capsicum annum*L.). *Plant Sciences Feed.* **2** (7): 100-105.

**Datir, R.B., Laware, S.L., and Apparao, B.J. 2010.** Effect of Organically Chelated Micronutrients on Growth and Productivity in Okra. *Asian J. Exp. Biol. Sci. Spl.* 115-117.

**Dimpak.O., Singh, U., Bindraban, P.S., ADISA, I.O., Elmer, W.H., Gardea-Torresdet,J.L., (2019).** Addition- Omission of Zinc, Copper, and Boron Nano and Bulk particles demonstrate element and size specific response of soyabean to micronutrients expose. *Science Total Environment.* 665,606-616.

**Farooq, S., Rehman, R.U., Pirzadah, T.B., Malik, B., Dar, F.A. and Tahir, I. (2016).** Cultivation, agronomic practices, and growth performance of buckwheat. In *Molecular breeding and nutritional aspects of buckwheat.* **22**(2): 299-319.

**Harish Kumar, K., Aditya, S., and Savalgi V.P, (2021).** Evaluation of foliar application of zinc Nanoparticles on growth and yield parameters of maize (*Zea mays* L.) grown under greenhouse conditions. *International Journal of Chemical Studies.***9**(1);1464-1467.

**Iziy, E., Majd, A., Vaezi-Kakhki, M.R., Nejadstattari, T., Kazemi Noureini, S. (2019).** Effects of zinc oxide Nanoparticles on enzymatic and non enzymatic antioxidant content, germination, and biochemical and ultra structural cell characteristics of *Portulaca oleracea* L. *Acta Soc Bot Pol.* **88**(4):3639. 17.

**Kisan, B., Shruthi, H., Sharanagouda, H., Revanappa, S.B. and Pramod, N.K.(2015).** Effect of Nano Zinc Oxide on the Leaf Physical and Nutritional quality of soinach. *Agrotechnology*, **5**:135-134.

**Kumar, B., & Dhaliwal, S. S. (2021).** Zinc bio fortification of dual-purpose cowpea (*vigna unguiculata* L.) walp.] for enhancing the productivity and nutritional quality in a semi-arid region of India. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science*, **68**(8), 1034–1048.

**Laware, S.L. and Raskar, S. (2014).** Influence of Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles on Growth, Flowering, Seed productivity in Onion, *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science*. **3**(7):874-881.

**Leder, I. (2009).** Buckwheat, amaranth, and other pseudocereal plants. *Encyclopedia of Life Support Systems. 1st ed. Ramsey: EOLSS Publishers Co Ltd*, **6**(2): 1-17.

**Morishita, T., Yamaguchi, H. and Degi, K. (2007).** The contribution of polyphenols to antioxidative activity in common buckwheat and tartary buckwheat grain. *Plant production science*. **10**(1): 99-104.

**Nadi, E., Aynehband and Mojaddam, M. (2013).** Effect of Nano Iron chelate fertilizer on Grain yield, Protein percent and Chlorophyll content of Fababean (*Vicia faba* L.) *Int. J. Biosci.*, **3**(9):267-272.

**Prasad T.N.V.K.V., P.Sudhakar, Y.,Sreenivasulu, P., Latha,V. Munaswamy, K.RajaReddy, T.S.Sreeprasad, P.R.Sajanlal, and T.Pradeep. 2012.** Effect of nano scale zinc oxide particles on the germination, growth and yield of peanut. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*. **35**,905927.

**Ramesh raddy., Mahesh Salimath., K.N. Geetha and Shankar, A.G. (2018).** ZnO Nanoparticle Improves Maize Growth, Yield and Seed Zinc under High Soil pH Condition. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* **7**(12): 1593- 1601.

**Poornima, R., and Koti, R.V. (2019).** Effect of nano zinc oxide on growth, yield and grain zinc content of sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry.* **8**(4): 727-731.

**Racuciu, M., and Creanga, D.2007.** TMA-OH coated magnetic Nanoparticles internalized in vegetal tissues. *Romanian Journal of Physics.* **52**,395402.

**Raskar, S.V. and Laware, S.L.2014.** Effect of Zinc oxide Nanoparticles on cytology and seed germination in onion. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.* **3**(2): 467-473.

**Rengel,Z., and Graham, R.D.1995.** Importance of seed zinc content for wheat growth on zinc-deficient soil. *I. Vegetative growth.* *Plant and Soil.* **173**,259-266.

**Robinson RG (1980)** The buckwheat crop in Minnesota. In: *Agricultural Experiment station Bulletin 539*, University of Minnesota St.Paul

**Sadak, M.S., Bakry, B.A. (2020)** Zinc Oxide and Nano ZnO oxide effect on growth, some biochemical aspects, yield quantity, and quality of flax (*Linum uitaissimum* L.) in absence and presence of Compost under sandy soil. *Bull Nat ResCent* **44**, 98.

**Satdev, V.J., Zinzala, Bharat N Chavda and Lokesh Kumar Saini. (2019).** Effect of nano ZnO on growth and yield of sweet corn under South Gujarat condition. *International Journal of Chemical Studies.* **8**(1); 2020-2023.

**Sabir, S., Zahoor, M.A., Waseem, M., Siddique, M.H., Shafique, M., Imran, M., Hayat, S., Malik, 1.R., Muzammil, S., (2020).** Biosynthesis of ZnO nanoparticles using bacillus subtilis: characterization and nutritive significance for promoting plant growth in *Zea mays* L. *Journal of nanotechnology.* **18**(3): 1-9.

**Salah M. H. Gowayed (2017).** Impact of Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles on Germination and Antioxidant system of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) Seedling under Cadmium Stress. *Journal of Plant Production Science,* **6**(1):1-11.

**Singh. M. D., Jayadeva, H. M., Chirag, G and Mohan, M.H. (2017).** Effect of Nano ZnO Particles on seedling growth of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) in Germination Paper test *Interational Journal of Microbiology Research*. **9**(5);897-898.

**Tarafdar, J. & Sharma, Shikha & Raliya, Ramesh. (2013).** Nanotechnology: Inter disciplinary science of applications. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. **12**. 219-226. **10.5897/AJB12**.

**Uma.V., Jayadeva, H., Rehamn,H. nad Kadalli, G.G.** Influence of Nano Zinc Oxide on Yield and Economics of Maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Mysore.J.Agric.Sci.*, 53(4); **44-48**.

UNDER PEER REVIEW