

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JAMMR_127694 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | EVALUATION OF THE EFFICACY OF PASSIVE ULTRASONIC IRRIGATION VERSUS CONVENTIONAL METHODS IN ENDODONTIC THERAPY OUTCOMES: A SCOPING REVIEW |
| Type of the Article | Review Article |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Of great clinical significance. It provides evidence based endodontic practise. Being a scoping review gives an overview of the latest trends in clinical practise. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | YES | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Well drafted | |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | Yes. Probably a sentence or 2 may be added as to why among the 472 articles- 9 articles were screened and the rest excluded. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | YES | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | YES | |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | The authors can probably go ahead for a systematic review and meta- analysis on the same. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|---|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Name: | Divyashree.P |
| Department, University & Country | KUHS, India |