

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_127694
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF THE EFFICACY OF PASSIVE ULTRASONIC IRRIGATION VERSUS CONVENTIONAL METHODS IN ENDODONTIC THERAPY OUTCOMES: A SCOPING REVIEW
Type of the Article	Review Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is a much needed article to read to know the significance of irrigation protocols in endodontic treatment.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is apt to the content of the article.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	In the method section, apart from the databases mentioned in the manuscript, the authors could have taken references from other databases also.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References mentioned here are sufficient.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language English is apt for the manuscript.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript can be proceed further for publication.	

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Divyashree.P</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>KUHS, India</b>