

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JAMMR_127290 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Photobiomodulation as an adjuvant treatment in managing damaged tissues due to oral trauma: A Case Report |
| Type of the Article | Case report |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | The title can be modified to " Photobiomodulation as an adjunctive therapy for Oral trauma: A case report" | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Precise results should be mentioned | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | No. Subsections need to be modified | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Description of the method and findings are not appropriate. The subheadings can be reduced and methodology and results and findings can be separate. Discussion can be elaborated on mechanism of PBM therapy in healing of tissues which is missing in the manuscript. Different studies variables need to be mentioned in discussion part. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | References can be added with recent research from the last 5 years. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | Yes | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | | |
| Optional/General comments | Elaborate on what basis were the 4 points marked for PBM therapy | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Lipika Gopal |
| Department, University & Country | Manav Rachna International Institute of Research & Studies, India |