

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_127255
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and Seed Production Of Black-Bean With Molybdenum Foliar Application
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is important to increase seed production and productivity. I have reservation on the availability of fertilizer.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No. Effect of Molybdenum Foliar Application on the Black-Bean (-----) Development and Seed Production	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Better if it started with research gaps. Needs paraphrase.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, it is good.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Appropriate agronomic practices for all crops are important in the crops seeds quality and yields increment.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	In the introduction part citation is very important in many paragraph. Old references need to update (1930, 1985,1987 & etc.)	
Minor REVISION comments	More or less it is good.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Using Plagiarism checker and paraphrasing is very important.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kedir Oshone Hussen
Department, University & Country	Melkassa Agricultural Research Center, Ethiopia